

Medical Policy

Subject:	Mechanical Circulatory Assist Devices (Ventricular Assist Devices, Percutaneous Ventricular Assist Devices and Artificial Hearts)	Publish Date:	06/28/2023
Document #:	SURG.00145	Last Review Date:	05/11/2023
Status:	Reviewed		

Description/Scope

This document addresses mechanical circulatory support and artificial heart systems. Devices addressed include the following:

- Ventricular assist devices (VADs), a mechanical pump used to help hearts that can no longer pump blood effectively due to heart failure. VADs may be used as a bridge to transplantation or as a permanent alternative to heart transplantation.
- Percutaneous ventricular assist devices (pVADs), also known as circulatory assist devices are small mechanical pumps typically inserted through a femoral artery with the proposed use as a short-term bridge to recovery.
- Total artificial heart, a pulsating bi-ventricular device that is implanted into the chest to replace the individual's left and right ventricles. The total artificial heart provides a bridge to transplantation for individuals who have no other reasonable medical or surgical treatment options.

Note: This document does not address the *percutaneous intra-aortic balloon assist pump (IABP)*.

Note: Please see the following related document for additional information:

- TRANS.00033 Heart Transplantation

Position Statement

I. Ventricular Assist Devices (VADs) including Left, Right and Biventricular Assist Devices (Adult)

Medically Necessary:

- A. U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) approved ventricular assist devices (VADs)*, used in accordance with FDA approval, are considered **medically necessary** as a *bridge to heart transplant* for individuals when **all** of the following criteria have been met:
 1. Have severe end stage heart failure; **and**
 2. Are not expected to survive until a donor heart can be obtained; **and**
 3. When **one** of the following criteria has been met:
 - a. Currently listed as a heart transplant candidate; **or**
 - b. Undergoing evaluation to determine candidacy for heart transplant.
- B. FDA approved VADs*, used in accordance with FDA approval, are considered **medically necessary** in the *post-cardiotomy setting* as a means of myocardial recovery support for individuals who are unable to be weaned off cardiopulmonary bypass.

This Medical Policy provides assistance in understanding Healthy Blue's standard Medicaid benefit plan. When evaluating coverage for a specific member benefit, reference to federal and state law, as well as contractual requirements may be necessary, since these may differ from our standard benefit plan. In the event of a conflict with standard plan benefits, federal, state and/or contractual requirements will govern. Before using this policy, please check all federal, state and/or contractual requirements applicable to the specific benefit plan coverage. Healthy Blue reserves the right to modify its Policies and Guidelines as necessary and in accordance with legal and contractual requirements. This Medical Policy is provided for informational purposes. It does not constitute medical advice. Healthy Blue may also use tools and criteria developed by third parties, to assist us in administering health benefits. Healthy Blue's Policies and Guidelines are intended to be used in accordance with the independent professional medical judgment of a qualified health care provider and do not constitute the practice of medicine or medical advice.

Mechanical Circulatory Assist Devices (Ventricular Assist Devices, Percutaneous Ventricular Assist Devices and Artificial Hearts)

- C. FDA approved VADs*, used in accordance with FDA approval, are considered **medically necessary** when used as a *permanent alternative (destination therapy)* to heart transplantation for an individual when **all** of the following criteria have been met:
1. Was evaluated and determined not to be eligible for a heart transplant; **and**
 2. Has documented Class III or IV New York Heart Association (NYHA) end stage left ventricular heart failure; **and**
 3. Has received optimal medical management, for at least 45 of the last 60 days or the individual’s survival is in jeopardy; **and**
 4. Has a life expectancy of less than 2 years due to heart disease.

***Note:** Please refer to the background section of the document for a list of FDA approved VADs.

Not Medically Necessary:

VADs are considered **not medically necessary** when the medically necessary criteria above are not met and for all other indications.

II. Ventricular Assist Devices (Pediatric)

Medically Necessary:

- A. FDA approved VADs appropriate for pediatrics, including humanitarian device approvals, used in accordance with FDA approval, are considered **medically necessary** for use in children when **all** of the following criteria have been met:
1. Child has documented end-stage left ventricular or biventricular failure; **and**
 2. Pediatric appropriate VAD* (based on FDA approved use) will be used; and meets either criteria (a) or (b) below:
 - a. Until a donor heart can be obtained; **or**
 - b. When used as a *permanent alternative (destination therapy)* in children who have been evaluated and determined not to be eligible for a heart transplant.

***Current FDA approved ventricular assist devices appropriate for pediatrics:**

- a. Child under age 5: the Berlin Heart EXCOR® Pediatric Ventricular Assist Device (severe isolated left ventricular or biventricular dysfunction); **or**
- b. Child between ages 5 and 16: either the HeartAssist®5 Pediatric Ventricular Assist Device or the Berlin Heart EXCOR Pediatric Ventricular Assist Device (severe isolated left ventricular or biventricular dysfunction); **or**
- c. Child with body surface area (BSA) greater than or equal to 1.0 meter squared (m²): The HeartMate™ 3 Left Ventricular Assist System (FDA approved as bridge to transplant **or destination therapy** without a specific age requirement and this may be used in pediatric populations).

Not Medically Necessary:

This Medical Policy provides assistance in understanding Healthy Blue’s standard Medicaid benefit plan. When evaluating coverage for a specific member benefit, reference to federal and state law, as well as contractual requirements may be necessary, since these may differ from our standard benefit plan. In the event of a conflict with standard plan benefits, federal, state and/or contractual requirements will govern. Before using this policy, please check all federal, state and/or contractual requirements applicable to the specific benefit plan coverage. Healthy Blue reserves the right to modify its Policies and Guidelines as necessary and in accordance with legal and contractual requirements. This Medical Policy is provided for informational purposes. It does not constitute medical advice. Healthy Blue may also use tools and criteria developed by third parties, to assist us in administering health benefits. Healthy Blue’s Policies and Guidelines are intended to be used in accordance with the independent professional medical judgment of a qualified health care provider and do not constitute the practice of medicine or medical advice.

Mechanical Circulatory Assist Devices (Ventricular Assist Devices, Percutaneous Ventricular Assist Devices and Artificial Hearts)

Pediatric VADs are considered **not medically necessary** in children when the medically necessary criteria above are not met and for all other indications.

III. Percutaneous Ventricular Assist Devices (pVADs)

Medically Necessary:

FDA approved pVADs*, used in accordance with FDA approval are considered **medically necessary** for the treatment of individuals with *cardiogenic shock* when the following criteria are met:

- A. Treatment is intended as an alternative to extracorporeal membrane oxygenation (ECMO); **or**
- B. Optimal medical management and conventional treatment measures (that is, volume loading and use of pressors and inotropes) has failed to provide sufficient improvement; **and**
- C. Individual is suspected to have a reversible cardiac injury and does not have irreversible end-organ injury including renal, hepatic or neurologic systems (care is not felt to be futile).

***Note:** Please refer to the background section of the document for a list of FDA approved pVADs.

Not Medically Necessary:

The use of pVADs is considered **not medically necessary** when the medically necessary criteria above are not met, and for all other indications.

IV. Artificial Heart Systems

Medically Necessary:

The SynCardia temporary Total Artificial Heart (TAH-t), used in accordance with FDA approval, is considered **medically necessary** as a bridge to heart transplantation for individuals who have no other reasonable medical or surgical treatment options, who are ineligible for other univentricular or biventricular support devices, and who meet **all** of the following criteria:

- A. Eligible for heart transplantation; **and**
- B. Listed for heart transplantation and in imminent danger of dying within 48 hours or becoming ineligible for transplant; **and**
- C. NYHA Functional Class IV; **and**
- D. Presence of biventricular failure and rapid decompensation; **and**
- E. Unavailability of heart donor and likelihood that condition will deteriorate before donor can be identified; **and**
- F. Body surface area 1.7-2.5 m²*, **or** have a distance between the sternum and the 10th anterior vertebral body measured by computed tomography imaging (CT scan) greater than or equal to 10 cm (See asterisk below for factors that may allow an exception to this criteria.); **and**
- G. Absence of active systemic infection; **and**
- H. Absence of irreversible organ dysfunction; **and**
- I. Serum Creatinine less than 5 mg/dl; **and**

This Medical Policy provides assistance in understanding Healthy Blue's standard Medicaid benefit plan. When evaluating coverage for a specific member benefit, reference to federal and state law, as well as contractual requirements may be necessary, since these may differ from our standard benefit plan. In the event of a conflict with standard plan benefits, federal, state and/or contractual requirements will govern. Before using this policy, please check all federal, state and/or contractual requirements applicable to the specific benefit plan coverage. Healthy Blue reserves the right to modify its Policies and Guidelines as necessary and in accordance with legal and contractual requirements. This Medical Policy is provided for informational purposes. It does not constitute medical advice. Healthy Blue may also use tools and criteria developed by third parties, to assist us in administering health benefits. Healthy Blue's Policies and Guidelines are intended to be used in accordance with the independent professional medical judgment of a qualified health care provider and do not constitute the practice of medicine or medical advice.

Mechanical Circulatory Assist Devices (Ventricular Assist Devices, Percutaneous Ventricular Assist Devices and Artificial Hearts)

- J. Total Bilirubin less than 5 mg/dl; **and**
- K. Cytotoxic antibody level less than 10%; **and**
- L. Pulmonary Vascular Resistance less than 8 Wood units; **and**
- M. Unresponsive to optimal medical therapy; **and**
- N. Presence of hemodynamic insufficiency demonstrated by either of the following:
 - 1. Cardiac index less than or equal to 2 L/min/M² and **one** of the following:
 - a. Systolic arterial pressure less than or equal to 90 mm Hg;
 - b. Central venous pressure greater than or equal to 18 mm Hg.
 - or**
 - 2. **Two** of the following:
 - a. Dopamine greater than or equal to 10 µg/kg/min; **or**
 - b. Dobutamine greater than or equal to 10 µg/kg/min; **or**
 - c. Epinephrine greater than or equal to 2 µg/kg/min; **or**
 - d. Isoproterenol greater than or equal to 2 µg/kg/min; **or**
 - e. Amrinone greater than or equal to 10 µg/kg/min; **or**
 - f. Other cardioactive drugs at maximal doses; **or**
 - g. IABP; **or**
 - h. Failure to wean from cardiopulmonary bypass (CPB).

Not Medically Necessary:

The SynCardia temporary Total Artificial Heart (TAH-t) is considered **not medically necessary** when the medically necessary criteria above are not met, and for all other indications.

All other artificial heart systems, including the AbioCor[®] Implantable Replacement Heart System, are considered **not medically necessary** for all indications.

***Note:** The proper functioning of the implanted SynCardia TAH-t can be impaired in smaller individuals, that is, those with a body surface area of less than 1.7 m² and a heart size less than or equal to 1500 cc, or whose anteroposterior diameter from the sternum inner table to the anterior vertebral body is less than 10 cm. In these cases, the implanted device may compress the inferior vena cava or the pulmonary veins. If an individual has a body size area less than 1.7 m², implantation of a TAH may still be possible if the presence of cardiomegaly allows for sufficient space for device placement.

Note: In general age greater than or equal to 18 years and less than or equal to 59 years is a relative indication.

Rationale

Ventricular Assist Devices

Based on current peer review literature, the durable VAD is shown to significantly improve health outcomes when used as a bridge to transplant, for bridge to recovery in the post-cardiotomy individual, or as a permanent alternative to heart transplantation when specified criteria are met.

This Medical Policy provides assistance in understanding Healthy Blue’s standard Medicaid benefit plan. When evaluating coverage for a specific member benefit, reference to federal and state law, as well as contractual requirements may be necessary, since these may differ from our standard benefit plan. In the event of a conflict with standard plan benefits, federal, state and/or contractual requirements will govern. Before using this policy, please check all federal, state and/or contractual requirements applicable to the specific benefit plan coverage. Healthy Blue reserves the right to modify its Policies and Guidelines as necessary and in accordance with legal and contractual requirements. This Medical Policy is provided for informational purposes. It does not constitute medical advice. Healthy Blue may also use tools and criteria developed by third parties, to assist us in administering health benefits. Healthy Blue’s Policies and Guidelines are intended to be used in accordance with the independent professional medical judgment of a qualified health care provider and do not constitute the practice of medicine or medical advice.

Mechanical Circulatory Assist Devices (Ventricular Assist Devices, Percutaneous Ventricular Assist Devices and Artificial Hearts)

The 2009 American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association (ACC/AHA) Guideline Update for the Diagnosis and Management of Chronic Heart Failure in the Adult included reference to consideration of a left ventricular assist device as permanent or “Destination Therapy” as being reasonable in highly selected individuals with refractory end-stage heart failure and an estimated 1-year mortality of over 50% with medical therapy. The ACC/AHA document added that use of mechanical circulatory assist devices for short-term circulatory support in individuals who are expected to recover from a major cardiac insult is an area of intense investigation. Most clinical experience currently available with these devices has been derived from their use in individuals being “bridged” to transplant (Hunt, 2009).

The Randomized Evaluation of Mechanical Assistance for the Treatment of Congestive Heart Failure (REMATCH) trial investigated the use of these devices as permanent or “Destination Therapy” in selected non-transplant-eligible subjects. According to authors the REMATCH analyses included 129 enrolled subjects, for whom 2-year survival was 23% in the 68 subjects treated with devices (HeartMate® XVE LVAS Thoratec, CORP, Pleasanton, CA) and 8% in the 61 subjects who received medical therapy. Device-related adverse events were numerous and included bleeding, infection, thromboembolic events, and device failure. This trial established the efficacy of device therapy for end-stage heart failure. According to the ACC/AHA updated guideline, destination device therapy is anticipated to benefit those individuals predicted to have a 1-year survival of less than 50%. One such group could be the population of non-transplant-eligible subjects requiring continuous intravenous inotropic infusions (Hunt, 2009).

Findings from other studies evaluated the use of implantable VADs as a bridge to transplantation. These studies indicated that use of these devices can improve functional and hemodynamic status and is associated with higher survival rates, compared with optimal medical therapy. The positive effect of these devices on post-heart transplant survival can be attributed, in part, to the efficient circulatory support provided by these devices and to the fact that transplant candidates who have been stabilized by these devices can wait for an optimal and well-matched organ and, therefore, are more likely to have a successful outcome (Aaronson, 2002; Frazier, 2001; Holman, 2002; Morgan, 2004; Vitali, 2003). Additional studies are currently underway that are examining different types of VADs and new power sources, in addition to evaluations of specific clinical indications for use of these devices.

In November 2012, the FDA approved a small, continuous-flow centrifugal pump known as the HeartWare® Ventricular Assist Device (HVAD) System (HeartWare, Inc., Framingham, MA) as a bridge to cardiac transplantation in candidates at risk for death from end-stage heart failure that was unmanageable by standard medical therapy. The approval was based on results from a multicenter prospective study comparing the HVAD device to that of currently available FDA approved VADs used as a bridge to cardiac transplantation. Aaronson and colleagues (2012) reported the primary outcome results; success as defined by survival for at least 180 days on original pump or transplantation, occurred for 90.7% of the HVAD population and 90.1% of the control population, establishing the non-inferiority of the HVAD pump ($p < 0.001$; 15% non-inferiority margin). Survival outcomes for the HVAD were reported at 99% for 30 days, 94% at 180 days and 86% at 1 year and 97%, 90% and 85% for the control group. The authors concluded use of the HVAD:

Was associated with high rates of 180-day success and survival and a favorable adverse event profile when used as a bridge to transplantation. Perioperative mortality was 1%, and survival at 1 year was 86%. Quality-of-life and functional capacity improvements were much larger than those seen with any drug or device therapy for advanced heart failure and were similar to those obtained with cardiac transplantation.

This Medical Policy provides assistance in understanding Healthy Blue’s standard Medicaid benefit plan. When evaluating coverage for a specific member benefit, reference to federal and state law, as well as contractual requirements may be necessary, since these may differ from our standard benefit plan. In the event of a conflict with standard plan benefits, federal, state and/or contractual requirements will govern. Before using this policy, please check all federal, state and/or contractual requirements applicable to the specific benefit plan coverage. Healthy Blue reserves the right to modify its Policies and Guidelines as necessary and in accordance with legal and contractual requirements. This Medical Policy is provided for informational purposes. It does not constitute medical advice. Healthy Blue may also use tools and criteria developed by third parties, to assist us in administering health benefits. Healthy Blue’s Policies and Guidelines are intended to be used in accordance with the independent professional medical judgment of a qualified health care provider and do not constitute the practice of medicine or medical advice.

Mechanical Circulatory Assist Devices (Ventricular Assist Devices, Percutaneous Ventricular Assist Devices and Artificial Hearts)

In August 2017, the FDA granted premarket approval (PMA) of the HeartMate™ 3 Left Ventricular Assist System (LVAS) (Abbott Cardiovascular, Plymouth, MN) for short-term hemodynamic support (that is bridge to transplant or bridge to myocardial recovery) in individuals who have advanced refractory left ventricular heart failure. On October 18, 2018, the FDA expanded approval of the HeartMate 3 LVAS for long-term mechanical support (destination therapy) for end stage left sided heart failure. The FDA approval is based on safety and effectiveness data from the MOMENTUM 3 trial, a randomized noninferiority and superiority trial in 366 participants that compared the HeartMate 3 LVAS, a centrifugal-flow pump (n=190), with the HeartMate II Left Ventricular Assist Device (LVAD), an axial-flow pump (n=176), in the treatment of advanced heart failure, irrespective of the intended goal of support (bridge to transplantation or destination therapy) (Mehra, 2018). In the intention-to-treat population, the primary end point occurred in 79.5% (n=151) of the HeartMate 3 LVAS population and 60.2% (n=106) of the HeartMate II LVAD population (absolute difference, 19.2 percent points; 95% lower confidence boundary, 9.8 percentage points [p<0.001 for superiority]). Reoperation for pump malfunction was less frequent in the HeartMate 3 LVAS group (1.6%; 3 participants) than in the HeartMate II LVAD group (17.0%; 30 participants) (hazard ratio, 0.08; 95% CI, 0.03 to 0.27; p<0.001). Among the two groups, the rates of disabling stroke were similar, but the overall rate of stroke was lower in the HeartMate 3 LVAS group than in the HeartMate II LVAD group (10.1% versus 19.2%; HR, 0.47; 95% CI, 0.27 to 0.84, p=0.02). Mehra and colleagues concluded that “in patients with advanced heart failure, a fully magnetically levitated centrifugal-flow pump was superior to a mechanical-bearing axial-flow pump with regard to survival free of disabling stroke or reoperation to replace or remove a malfunctioning device.” In 2023, Mehra and colleagues published 5-year follow-up data from the Momentum3 Trial at which time a total of 141 participants remained in the centrifugal-flow pump arm and 85 in the axial flow pump arm. The 5-year Kaplan-Meier estimate of survival to transplant, recovery, or LVAD support free of debilitating stroke or reoperation to replace the pump in the centrifugal-flow vs axial-flow group was 54.0% vs 29.7% (HR, 0.55; 95% CI, 0.45-0.67; p<0.001). Overall Kaplan-Meier survival was 58.4% in the centrifugal-flow group vs 43.7% in the axial-flow group (HR, 0.72; 95% CI, 0.58-0.89; p=0.003). Serious adverse events of stroke, bleeding, and pump thrombosis were less frequent in the centrifugal-flow pump group. Authors conclude that clinically meaningful outcomes demonstrated at 2 years remain durable at 5 years and state, “receipt of a fully magnetically levitated centrifugal-flow LVAD vs axial-flow LVAD was associated with a better composite outcome and higher likelihood of overall survival...”

The 2013 American College of Cardiology Foundation (ACCF)/American Heart Association (AHA) guideline for the management of HF, provides guidance on “Guideline-directed medical therapy” (GDMT) (also known as optimal medical management). Current HF guidelines consider *refractory* HF when an individual has failed GDMT (appropriate intravenous medications, mechanical circulatory support [MCS] or oxygen therapy).

In 2019, Mehra and colleagues reported findings from the MOMENTUM 3 trial which included 1028 advanced heart failure participants that were randomly assigned to the centrifugal-flow HeartMate 3 LVAS group (n=516) or the axial-flow HeartMate II LVAS group (n=512), irrespective of the intended goal of use (bridge to transplantation or destination therapy). In the analysis of the primary endpoint, 76.9% of participants (n=397) in the HeartMate3 LVAS group compared to 64.8% (n=332) in the HeartMate II group remained alive and free of disabling stroke or reoperation to replace or remove a malfunctioning device at 2 years (relative risk, 0.84; 95% CI, 0.78 to 0.91; p<0.001 for superiority). There were fewer pump replacements in the HeartMate 3 LVAS group than in the HeartMate II group (2.3% [n=12 participants] versus 11.3%, [n=57 participants]) (relative risk, 0.21; 95% CI, 0.11

This Medical Policy provides assistance in understanding Healthy Blue’s standard Medicaid benefit plan. When evaluating coverage for a specific member benefit, reference to federal and state law, as well as contractual requirements may be necessary, since these may differ from our standard benefit plan. In the event of a conflict with standard plan benefits, federal, state and/or contractual requirements will govern. Before using this policy, please check all federal, state and/or contractual requirements applicable to the specific benefit plan coverage. Healthy Blue reserves the right to modify its Policies and Guidelines as necessary and in accordance with legal and contractual requirements. This Medical Policy is provided for informational purposes. It does not constitute medical advice. Healthy Blue may also use tools and criteria developed by third parties, to assist us in administering health benefits. Healthy Blue’s Policies and Guidelines are intended to be used in accordance with the independent professional medical judgment of a qualified health care provider and do not constitute the practice of medicine or medical advice.

Mechanical Circulatory Assist Devices (Ventricular Assist Devices, Percutaneous Ventricular Assist Devices and Artificial Hearts)

to 0.38; $p < 0.001$). “The numbers of events per patient-year for stroke of any severity, major bleeding, and gastrointestinal hemorrhage were lower in the centrifugal-flow pump group than in the axial-flow pump group.” The authors concluded that:

The centrifugal-flow HeartMate3 left ventricular assist device was associated with a less frequent need for pump replacement than the axial-flow HeartMate II left ventricular assist device and was superior to the axial-flow pump with respect to survival free of disabling stroke or reoperation to replace or remove a malfunctioning device.

Goldstein and colleagues (2020) reported prespecified secondary analysis from the MOMENTUM 3 trial (NCT02224755), a multicenter randomized clinical trial that compared the HeartMate 3 to the HeartMate II. Participants with advanced HF were randomized to an LVAD, irrespective of the goal of supported therapy (bridge to transplant [BTT] group, bridge to candidacy [BTC] group versus destination therapy [DT] group). The primary outcome results; survival free of disabling stroke or reoperation to remove or replace a malfunction device at 2 years, HeartMate 3 was superior to HeartMate II use in participants with BTT/BTC group (76.8% vs 67.3%; HR, 0.62 [95% CI, 0.40-0.94]; log-rank $p = 0.2$) and participants in the DT group (73.2% vs 58.7%; HR, 0.61 [95% CI, 0.46-0.81]; log-rank $p < 0.001$). There was not a significant difference in reduction of adverse events reported between the two pumps. The improvement in QOL and functional for either pump were not significantly different irrespective of the treatment strategy. In summary, the authors concluded:

This prespecified analysis of the MOMENTUM 3 trial suggests that the use of DT or BTT/BTC designations based on the current or uncertain future transplant eligibility is not necessary. Patients with medically refractory heart failure can be successfully treated under a single preimplant strategy with the goal of extending survival and improving quality of life.

The 2022 American Heart Association (AHA)/American College of Cardiology (ACC)/ Heart Failure Society of America (HFSA) guideline for the management of heart failure provides recommendations for individuals with advanced heart failure with reduced ejection fraction (HFrEF) requiring MCS:

In select patients with advanced HFrEF with NYHA class IV symptoms who are deemed to be dependent on continuous intravenous inotropes or temporary MCS, durable LVAD implantation is effective to improve functional status, QOL, and survival. (Class of Recommendation: Category 1)

In select patients with advanced HFrEF who have NYHA class IV symptoms despite GDMT, durable MCS can be beneficial to improve symptoms, improve functional class, and reduce mortality. (Class of Recommendation: Category 2a)

In patients with advanced HFrEF and hemodynamic compromise and shock, temporary MCS, including percutaneous extracorporeal ventricular assist devices, and reasonable at a “bridge to recovery” or “bridge to decision.” (Class of Recommendation: Category 2a)

Percutaneous Ventricular Assist Devices

This Medical Policy provides assistance in understanding Healthy Blue’s standard Medicaid benefit plan. When evaluating coverage for a specific member benefit, reference to federal and state law, as well as contractual requirements may be necessary, since these may differ from our standard benefit plan. In the event of a conflict with standard plan benefits, federal, state and/or contractual requirements will govern. Before using this policy, please check all federal, state and/or contractual requirements applicable to the specific benefit plan coverage. Healthy Blue reserves the right to modify its Policies and Guidelines as necessary and in accordance with legal and contractual requirements. This Medical Policy is provided for informational purposes. It does not constitute medical advice. Healthy Blue may also use tools and criteria developed by third parties, to assist us in administering health benefits. Healthy Blue’s Policies and Guidelines are intended to be used in accordance with the independent professional medical judgment of a qualified health care provider and do not constitute the practice of medicine or medical advice.

Mechanical Circulatory Assist Devices (Ventricular Assist Devices, Percutaneous Ventricular Assist Devices and Artificial Hearts)

The pVAD is another form of mechanical circulatory support. The short-term external heart assist system was introduced as an alternative to the IABP in individuals with cardiogenic shock following acute myocardial infarction (AMI). Thiele and colleagues (2005) published a randomized controlled trial comparing subjects who received the TandemHeart system (n=21) to those who received IABP (n=20). The primary outcome measure revealed that the cardiac power index rose in both groups, but was significantly higher in the TandemHeart group. The overall mortality at 30 days was similar for the two groups with a result of 43% in the TandemHeart population versus 45% in the IABP population. However, adverse events such as leg ischemia (n=7 vs. n=0), severe bleeding (n=19 vs. n=8), and fever or sepsis (n=17 vs. n=10) were higher among the TandemHeart participants. Researchers reported a median duration of device use of 3.5 days for the TandemHeart compared to 4.0 days for the IABP.

Burkhoff and colleagues (2006b) conducted a randomized controlled trial to determine if the TandemHeart system provided superior hemodynamic support compared with the IABP. Individuals presenting within 24 hours with cardiogenic shock were included into the roll-in phase (n=9) and the others randomized to treatment with TandemHeart (n=19) or IABP (n=14). Of the 42 subjects enrolled, only 62% had a diagnosis of AMI, and of these, 52% underwent percutaneous coronary intervention (PCI). Both devices had a median use of 2.5 days. In the randomized population, the study demonstrated a hemodynamic improvement of 37% in the TandemHeart group (n=7) compared to 14% in the IABP group (n=2). The TandemHeart group reported 3.1 average adverse events per participant versus the IABP group which reported 2.6 average adverse events per participant; however, there were no specific identified trends. Overall 30-day mortality was not significantly different between the two treatment groups. Authors concluded that there was no survival benefit when comparing TandemHeart with conventional therapy with IABP.

In a prospective study, Seyfarth (2008) compared the use of Impella LP 2.5 (n=12) to IABP (n=13) in participants with cardiogenic shock due to AMI. Primary improvement was measured by the change in cardiac index 30 minutes after implantation, with the Impella LP 2.5 demonstrating significant improvement. The overall 30-day mortality for both groups was reported at 46%. Researchers stated:

A major limitation of the study is the small number of patients, which did not allow for a meaningful evaluation of potential mortality differences. Therefore, evidence from this initial study can only serve as support for future larger studies to test for a clinical benefit or mortality reduction.

Cheng and colleagues (2009) conducted a meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials (Burkhoff, 2006b; Seyfarth, 2007; Thiele, 2005) to study the benefit of pVAD on hemodynamic and survival 30 days after the procedure. Researchers concluded that individuals in the pVAD group had higher cardiac indexes, higher mean arterial pressures and lower pulmonary capillary wedge pressures compared to the IABP population. The groups had similar mortality at day 30. There were notably higher bleeding adverse events reported in the TandemHeart group compared to IABP (relative risks [RR] 2.59, 95% confidence interval [CI] 1.40-3.93). The authors concluded:

Although use of pVAD resulted in a better hemodynamic profile compared with IABP counterpulsation, this did not translate into improved 30-day survival. Moreover, patients treated with a pVAD tended to have a higher incidence of leg ischemia and device-related bleeding.

This Medical Policy provides assistance in understanding Healthy Blue's standard Medicaid benefit plan. When evaluating coverage for a specific member benefit, reference to federal and state law, as well as contractual requirements may be necessary, since these may differ from our standard benefit plan. In the event of a conflict with standard plan benefits, federal, state and/or contractual requirements will govern. Before using this policy, please check all federal, state and/or contractual requirements applicable to the specific benefit plan coverage. Healthy Blue reserves the right to modify its Policies and Guidelines as necessary and in accordance with legal and contractual requirements. This Medical Policy is provided for informational purposes. It does not constitute medical advice. Healthy Blue may also use tools and criteria developed by third parties, to assist us in administering health benefits. Healthy Blue's Policies and Guidelines are intended to be used in accordance with the independent professional medical judgment of a qualified health care provider and do not constitute the practice of medicine or medical advice.

Mechanical Circulatory Assist Devices (Ventricular Assist Devices, Percutaneous Ventricular Assist Devices and Artificial Hearts)

The 2009 update of ACC/AHA guidelines for the diagnosis and management of heart failure in adults briefly address the role of mechanical circulatory assist devices, also known as pVADs:

The use of mechanical circulatory assist devices in endstage heart failure is an area of intense investigation. Extracorporeal devices can be used for short-term circulatory support in patients who are expected to recover from a major cardiac insult (e.g., myocardial ischemia, postcardiotomy shock, or fulminant myocarditis).

While the ACC/AHA guideline does describe a potential role for short term circulatory support, the document does not provide a formal recommendation for use, or elucidate individual selection criteria or clinical situations where use of such devices have been shown to improve outcome results (Hunt, 2009).

O'Neill and colleagues (2012) reported results from the PROTECT II study, a prospective, randomized trial comparing hemodynamic support with Impella 2.5 versus IABP in individuals undergoing high-risk percutaneous coronary intervention. Enrollment was planned for 654 participants from 50 clinical centers. The study randomly assigned 452 symptomatic participants to the Impella (n=226) or IABP (n=226). The primary endpoint was the composite rate of 10 major adverse events including death, myocardial infarction, stroke or transient ischemic attack and repeat revascularization at discharge or 30 days follow-up, whichever was longer. In late 2010 the trial was discontinued prematurely due to futility, after an interim analysis revealed that the primary endpoint could not be reached. At this point, 69% of the planned enrollment for the study had been enrolled. These results reported composite adverse event rates of 35.1% in the Impella 2.5 group compared to 40.1% in the IABP group (p=0.227).

The 2015 Society of Cardiovascular Angiography and Intervention (SCAI)/ ACC/ Heart Failure Society of America (HFSA), and the Society of Thoracic Surgery (STS) provided a joint Expert Consensus Statement on the use of percutaneous mechanical circulatory support (MCS) devices in cardiovascular care. The statement addresses IABPs, left atrial-to-aorta assist devices, left ventricle-to-aorta assist devices, extracorporeal membrane oxygenation, and methods of right-sided support. The Expert Consensus document did not include specific graded recommendations, but the statement reviews the use of MCS in individuals undergoing high-risk PCI, those with cardiogenic shock, and individuals with acute decompensated heart failure. The authors concluded that

The availability of percutaneous MCS has broadened therapeutic options for patients that require hemodynamic support. A variety of devices are now available, each with specific technical and clinical nuances. Unfortunately, definitive clinical evidence is in many cases unavailable or controversial.

There is limited evidence to support if pVADs improve the net health outcome in individuals with cardiogenic shock that occurs immediately following acute myocardial infarction (AMI), open heart surgery, or in the setting of either peripartum cardiomyopathy or myocarditis; or in high-risk PCI performed in elective or urgent setting, in hemodynamically stable individuals with severe coronary artery disease and depressed left ventricular ejection fraction. Although early study findings suggest that hemodynamic measures with the pVAD versus the IABP are comparable, clinically meaningful outcomes and subsequent reduction in mortality have not been demonstrated between the use of pVAD and IABP. The studies do not permit conclusion with respect to the use of these pVADs

This Medical Policy provides assistance in understanding Healthy Blue's standard Medicaid benefit plan. When evaluating coverage for a specific member benefit, reference to federal and state law, as well as contractual requirements may be necessary, since these may differ from our standard benefit plan. In the event of a conflict with standard plan benefits, federal, state and/or contractual requirements will govern. Before using this policy, please check all federal, state and/or contractual requirements applicable to the specific benefit plan coverage. Healthy Blue reserves the right to modify its Policies and Guidelines as necessary and in accordance with legal and contractual requirements. This Medical Policy is provided for informational purposes. It does not constitute medical advice. Healthy Blue may also use tools and criteria developed by third parties, to assist us in administering health benefits. Healthy Blue's Policies and Guidelines are intended to be used in accordance with the independent professional medical judgment of a qualified health care provider and do not constitute the practice of medicine or medical advice.

Mechanical Circulatory Assist Devices (Ventricular Assist Devices, Percutaneous Ventricular Assist Devices and Artificial Hearts)

in individuals in cardiogenic shock due to AMI, peripartum cardiomyopathy, myocarditis, high-risk PCI or other causes, and its use often extends beyond the timeframe that is part of the FDA clearance (Cheng, 2009; O'Neill, 2009; O'Neill, 2014).

Cardiogenic shock remains the most deadly complication post MI, with a > 40% mortality rate for those that develop cardiogenic shock. In individuals with refractory cardiogenic shock, pVADs may be used as an alternative to ECMO or failed IABP support when the prognosis is not futile. Recently published data from observational studies (Basir, 2019; Tehrani, 2019) support the use of pVADs in cardiogenic shock protocol-based approach emphasizing “best practices” across the country and as a guide in clinical decision-making. Use of pVAD may have a clinical role in the treatment of certain individuals with refractory cardiogenic shock. The authors concluded that further studies are needed to demonstrate which individuals would benefit most from mechanical circulatory support.

Artificial Heart Systems

The published data on the SynCardia temporary Total Artificial Heart (TAH-T) (SynCardia Systems, Inc., Tucson, AZ), formerly known as CardioWest TAH-t, consists mainly of information reported by specific heart transplant centers evaluating the device under guidelines approved by the FDA, as part of an investigational device exemption (IDE). Accordingly, there is overlap among the populations in the studies. The following summarizes the results of several published studies.

Copeland and colleagues (2004a) presented a nonrandomized, prospective study in five centers with the use of historical controls to assess the safety and efficacy of the CardioWest TAH-t in transplant-eligible individuals at risk for imminent death from irreversible biventricular cardiac failure. The primary end points included the rates of survival to heart transplantation and of survival after transplantation. A total of 81 participants received the artificial-heart device. The rate of survival to transplantation was 79%. Of the 35 control participants who met the same entry criteria but did not receive the artificial heart, 46% survived to transplantation. Overall, the 1-year survival rate among the participants who received the artificial heart was 70%, as compared with 31% among the controls. One-year and 5-year survival rates after transplantation, among individuals who had received a total artificial heart (TAH) as a bridge to transplantation, were 86% and 64%. The investigators concluded that implantation of the TAH improved the rate of survival to cardiac transplantation and survival after transplantation. Furthermore, they implied that this device prevents death in critically ill individuals who have irreversible biventricular failure and are candidates for cardiac transplantation. The study was initiated in January 1993 and concluded in September 2002.

Copeland and colleagues (2004b) presented a single center 9-year heart study. The study was conducted between January 1, 1993 and April 1, 2002 and followed 62 participants who received the CardioWest TAH-t after failing other medical therapies. All 62 participants were critically ill with biventricular heart failure. The study found that after a mean support time of 92 days, 77% of TAH participants survived to transplantation, with 88% of those surviving to discharge from the hospital after transplantation.

One published study (Copeland, 2001) retrospectively reviewed results for survival, stroke, and infection in 43 participants implanted with the CardioWest TAH-t, 23 with the Novacor® Left Ventricular Assist System (LVAS),

This Medical Policy provides assistance in understanding Healthy Blue's standard Medicaid benefit plan. When evaluating coverage for a specific member benefit, reference to federal and state law, as well as contractual requirements may be necessary, since these may differ from our standard benefit plan. In the event of a conflict with standard plan benefits, federal, state and/or contractual requirements will govern. Before using this policy, please check all federal, state and/or contractual requirements applicable to the specific benefit plan coverage. Healthy Blue reserves the right to modify its Policies and Guidelines as necessary and in accordance with legal and contractual requirements. This Medical Policy is provided for informational purposes. It does not constitute medical advice. Healthy Blue may also use tools and criteria developed by third parties, to assist us in administering health benefits. Healthy Blue's Policies and Guidelines are intended to be used in accordance with the independent professional medical judgment of a qualified health care provider and do not constitute the practice of medicine or medical advice.

Mechanical Circulatory Assist Devices (Ventricular Assist Devices, Percutaneous Ventricular Assist Devices and Artificial Hearts)

and 26 with the Thoratec Ventricular Assist System (VAS). Respective results for CardioWest TAH-t, Novacor, and Thoratec participants included survival to transplantation, 75%, 57% and 38%; stroke, 8%, 32% and 12%; and death from infection, 2%, 13% and 4%. The authors concluded that in participants who are hemodynamically unstable or deteriorating rapidly, or have clinical right heart failure and who are large (BSA greater than 1.7 m²), the CardioWest should be used. If they are small (BSA less than or equal to 1.7 m²), they use the Thoratec VAS. If participants are slowly deteriorating on inotropic support and have no clinical right heart failure with reasonable renal function and BSA greater than 1.7 m², they use the Novacor LVAS. If they are similarly stable and smaller, they use the Thoratec VAS.

Arabia and colleagues (1999) reported on 24 heart transplant candidates (Group A) that met strict entry criteria and underwent placement of the CardioWest TAH-t between January 1993 and July 1996. The control group (Group B) consisted of 18 heart transplant candidates who met the TAH entry criteria but never received a TAH. The mean values (preimplantation) for Groups A and B, respectively, were age: 47 and 47 years; BSA: 2.01 and 1.93 m²; cardiac index: 1.5 and 1.8 L/min/m²; pulmonary vascular resistance: 2.88 and 2.47 Wood units; creatinine: 1.5 and 1.6 mg/dl; and bilirubin: 1.8 and 1.4 mg/dl. In Group A, 1 subject died on the TAH, 1 subject died after transplant, and 22 subjects reached transplant and were discharged home for a survival rate of 91.7%. In Group B, 10 subjects died while waiting for a heart transplant. Of the 8 subjects transplanted, 7 survived and were discharged home for a survival rate of 38.9%. The authors concluded that the CardioWest TAH-t provided an excellent and successful method of bridging individuals to heart transplantation with a reasonable risk.

The AbioCor implantable replacement heart system has received FDA approval as destination therapy for certain individuals with end stage biventricular failure. Data reviewed by the FDA on the AbioCor device included a total of 14 subjects with biventricular failure who were ineligible for cardiac transplantation, not treatable with an LVAD, receiving optimal medical management and with an expected 30-day survival of 30% or less. Data had been published previously on 7 of these 14 subjects (Dowling, 2003 and 2004) and 11 of the 14 (Frazier, 2004). All subjects were males, in part related to individual size requirements. Of the 14, 12 survived the surgical procedure, with the mean survival time being 4.5 months, and 2 subjects survived for longer than 6 months with the longest survival being 17 months. Four subjects were able to spend some time on out-of-hospital activities, however, the exact nature of these is undefined. Only 2 subjects were able to be discharged from the hospital and only 1 of these returned home. Quality of life (QOL) measures suggested improvement at “at least one point in time” in 7 subjects, but the timing of the measurements is unclear, and whether the participants truly experienced significant improvements in QOL is difficult to assess. Many adverse events occurred including 9 subjects suffering CVAs, leading to discontinuation of support in 6 of these. Most participants experienced problems with bleeding and anticoagulation, as well as infections (unrelated to the device). There were two instances of device failure.

The AbioCor heart may eventually be an attractive option as destination therapy in appropriately selected individuals, because the system is totally implantable requiring no percutaneous line attachments, and initial data regarding the technical functioning of the device appears encouraging. However, additional clinical studies are needed with larger numbers of individuals to enable further analysis of outcomes including QOL issues, survival, and adverse complications.

The Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services (CMS) issued a national coverage determination (NCD 20.9.1, 2021) that provides indications for the use of VADs to include postcardiotomy, short-term (that is, bridge-to-

This Medical Policy provides assistance in understanding Healthy Blue’s standard Medicaid benefit plan. When evaluating coverage for a specific member benefit, reference to federal and state law, as well as contractual requirements may be necessary, since these may differ from our standard benefit plan. In the event of a conflict with standard plan benefits, federal, state and/or contractual requirements will govern. Before using this policy, please check all federal, state and/or contractual requirements applicable to the specific benefit plan coverage. Healthy Blue reserves the right to modify its Policies and Guidelines as necessary and in accordance with legal and contractual requirements. This Medical Policy is provided for informational purposes. It does not constitute medical advice. Healthy Blue may also use tools and criteria developed by third parties, to assist us in administering health benefits. Healthy Blue’s Policies and Guidelines are intended to be used in accordance with the independent professional medical judgment of a qualified health care provider and do not constitute the practice of medicine or medical advice.

Mechanical Circulatory Assist Devices (Ventricular Assist Devices, Percutaneous Ventricular Assist Devices and Artificial Hearts)

recovery and bridge-to-transplant, and long-term (that is, destination therapy), for MSC as treatment of heart failure, subject to FDA-approved labeling and additional stipulated facility and registry participation requirements. At the present time, CMS national coverage decision for artificial hearts (NCD 20.9, 2014), considers devices used as a replacement for the human heart (total artificial hearts) noncovered, due to the lack of what CMS considers authoritative evidence to substantiate safety and effectiveness.

Background/Overview**▪ Description of Disease**

According to the Centers for Disease Control (CDC) and Prevention approximately 960,000 new heart failure cases are diagnosed each year (CDC, 2020). Chronic heart failure is an extremely common condition estimated to affect around 4.3% of people over 65 years of age. Around 300,000 Americans are estimated to suffer from chronic heart failure, and the prevalence also appears to be rising. Approximately 50% of individuals with heart failure die within 5 years of diagnosis. End-stage heart failure also leads to many restrictions in lifestyle and a poor quality of life. Initial treatments for heart failure are lifestyle changes and pharmacological therapy with drugs, such as ACE inhibitors, beta blockers and diuretics. This therapy, however, often becomes inadequate, and eventually the only treatment left is a heart transplant.

▪ Functional Description of Ventricular Assist Devices

Ventricular assist devices are pumps designed to assist, but not replace, the heart muscle. They are most commonly used to support the left ventricle, but right ventricular devices are also used. VADs have generally been used as a bridge to transplant, but in some individuals they have also been used as permanent assist devices (destination therapy). In some individuals, the implantation of an assist device has taken pressure away from the natural heart and has allowed the heart to recover, thus VADs can also provide a “bridge to recovery.”

The LVAD is a pump with a tube that pulls blood from the left ventricle (pumping chamber of the heart) into a pump. The pump then sends blood into the aorta (the large blood vessel leaving the left ventricle) and from there it circulates throughout the body. This bypasses the weakened pumping chamber of the heart. Occasionally, an individual will require the simultaneous use of two VADs, one placed in the left ventricle and one in the right. The median duration for time on the device is between 20 and 120 days, but much longer times have been reported. Depending on the type of VAD used, an individual may stay in the hospital or be discharged. As a means of myocardial recovery support in individuals post-cardiotomy, the median duration of VAD support reported was 6 days (mean 12 days, maximum: 80 days).

Epidemiologic investigation has demonstrated disparities in the use of VADs and outcomes following implantation. For instance, Blacks are less likely to receive a VAD, even after controlling for socioeconomic and baseline clinical variables (Cascino, 2023). Women demonstrate a greater risk of adverse events (such as bleeding or stroke) and mortality post-LVAD implantation, though the mechanisms remain unclear (Arjomandi, 2023).

The U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) has approved several durable VADs for marketing which include the following:

This Medical Policy provides assistance in understanding Healthy Blue’s standard Medicaid benefit plan. When evaluating coverage for a specific member benefit, reference to federal and state law, as well as contractual requirements may be necessary, since these may differ from our standard benefit plan. In the event of a conflict with standard plan benefits, federal, state and/or contractual requirements will govern. Before using this policy, please check all federal, state and/or contractual requirements applicable to the specific benefit plan coverage. Healthy Blue reserves the right to modify its Policies and Guidelines as necessary and in accordance with legal and contractual requirements. This Medical Policy is provided for informational purposes. It does not constitute medical advice. Healthy Blue may also use tools and criteria developed by third parties, to assist us in administering health benefits. Healthy Blue’s Policies and Guidelines are intended to be used in accordance with the independent professional medical judgment of a qualified health care provider and do not constitute the practice of medicine or medical advice.

Mechanical Circulatory Assist Devices (Ventricular Assist Devices, Percutaneous Ventricular Assist Devices and Artificial Hearts)

Manufacturer, Durable VAD Devices, Indications, and Contraindications	Date Approved	FDA Approval
Abbott Cardiovascular, Plymouth, MN		
<p>HeartMate II Left Ventricular Assist Device:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> As a bridge to transplantation in cardiac transplant candidates at risk of imminent death from non-reversible left ventricular failure, intended for use both inside and outside the hospital, or for transportation of VAD individuals via ground ambulance, fixed-wing aircraft, or helicopter. Expanded to include destination therapy, the device is indicated for use in people with severe heart failure, with New York Heart Association (NYHA) Class IIIB or IV end-stage left ventricular failure who have received optimal medical therapy for at least 45 of the last 60 days, and who are not candidates for cardiac transplantation. <p>Contraindications: Contraindicated for individuals who cannot tolerate, or who are allergic to, anticoagulation therapy.</p>	<p>April 2008</p> <p>January 2010</p>	<p>P060040</p> <p>P060040/S005</p>
<p>HeartMate 3 Left Ventricular Assist System:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> As short-term hemodynamic support (that is bridge to transplant or bridge to myocardial recovery) in individuals with BSA $\geq 1.2 \text{ m}^2$ who have advanced refractory left ventricular heart failure. Expanded the PMA approval for coverage of the HeartMate 3 LVAS as long-term mechanical support (that is, destination therapy) for individuals living with advanced refractory left ventricular heart failure. As short- and long-term mechanical circulatory support in pediatric population with advanced refractory left ventricular heart failure and an appropriate body surface area (BSA $\geq 1.0 \text{ m}^2$). Abbott Cardiovascular has agreed to work with Advanced Cardiac Therapies Improving Outcomes Network (ACTION) in the PMA Post-Approval Study HeartMate 3 Real-World Pediatric Use Surveillance study. ACTION is a consortium of 50+ U.S. Pediatric hospitals that pooled 	<p>August 2017</p> <p>October 2018</p> <p>December 2020</p>	<p>P160054</p> <p>P160054/S008</p> <p>P160054/S031</p>

This Medical Policy provides assistance in understanding Healthy Blue’s standard Medicaid benefit plan. When evaluating coverage for a specific member benefit, reference to federal and state law, as well as contractual requirements may be necessary, since these may differ from our standard benefit plan. In the event of a conflict with standard plan benefits, federal, state and/or contractual requirements will govern. Before using this policy, please check all federal, state and/or contractual requirements applicable to the specific benefit plan coverage. Healthy Blue reserves the right to modify its Policies and Guidelines as necessary and in accordance with legal and contractual requirements. This Medical Policy is provided for informational purposes. It does not constitute medical advice. Healthy Blue may also use tools and criteria developed by third parties, to assist us in administering health benefits. Healthy Blue’s Policies and Guidelines are intended to be used in accordance with the independent professional medical judgment of a qualified health care provider and do not constitute the practice of medicine or medical advice.

Mechanical Circulatory Assist Devices (Ventricular Assist Devices, Percutaneous Ventricular Assist Devices and Artificial Hearts)

<p>together data of HeartMate 3 LVAS outcomes in the pediatric population. The surveillance is to continue to monitor use of the HeartMate 3 LVAS performance in the pediatric population within the first 2 years after the PMA approval, that are entered into the ACTION registry. The surveillance will monitor participant outcomes and adverse events at 3, 6, 12, and 24 months. The FDA approval was based on outcomes of the MOMENTUM trial.</p> <p>Contraindications: Contraindicated for individuals who cannot tolerate, or who are allergic to, anticoagulation therapy.</p>		
Medtronic, Mounds View, MN		
<p>HeartWare HVAD System:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> As bridge to cardiac transplantation in transplant candidates at risk of death from refractory end-stage left ventricular heart failure. Expanded approval for hemodynamic support in individuals with advanced, refractory left ventricular heart failure, for destination therapy in those who subsequent transplantation is not planned. Expanded approval to now include a less-invasive implant approach for the HeartWare HVAD System, the new approval allows for implantation via thoracotomy, a small incision between the ribs on the left side of the chest versus a sternotomy. 	<p>November 2012</p> <p>September 2017</p> <p>July 2018</p>	<p>P100047</p> <p>P100047/S090</p> <p>P100047/S115</p>
<p>*Note: In August 2021, Medtronic announced a Class I recall of the HeartWare HVAD System due to risk of neurological adverse events, mortality, and potential failure to restart. Both problems may lead to death or more serious harms including stroke, heart attack, worsening HF, the need for additional procedures or hospitalization. <i>Medtronic issued a letter to healthcare professionals to inform of the decision to halt new distribution/implantations of the HeartWare HVAD system.</i></p>	<p>August 2021 CLASS I Recall</p>	
Berlin Heart, Inc., Woodlands, TX		
<p>Berlin Heart EXCOR:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Children between 0 and 16 years of age who have end-stage left ventricular failure requiring temporary 	<p>December 2011</p>	<p>HDE H10004</p>

This Medical Policy provides assistance in understanding Healthy Blue’s standard Medicaid benefit plan. When evaluating coverage for a specific member benefit, reference to federal and state law, as well as contractual requirements may be necessary, since these may differ from our standard benefit plan. In the event of a conflict with standard plan benefits, federal, state and/or contractual requirements will govern. Before using this policy, please check all federal, state and/or contractual requirements applicable to the specific benefit plan coverage. Healthy Blue reserves the right to modify its Policies and Guidelines as necessary and in accordance with legal and contractual requirements. This Medical Policy is provided for informational purposes. It does not constitute medical advice. Healthy Blue may also use tools and criteria developed by third parties, to assist us in administering health benefits. Healthy Blue’s Policies and Guidelines are intended to be used in accordance with the independent professional medical judgment of a qualified health care provider and do not constitute the practice of medicine or medical advice.

Mechanical Circulatory Assist Devices (Ventricular Assist Devices, Percutaneous Ventricular Assist Devices and Artificial Hearts)

<p>mechanical blood circulation until a heart transplant can be performed. The device is intended for hospital use.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Mechanical circulatory support as a bridge to cardiac transplantation for children with severe isolated left ventricular or biventricular dysfunction, who are candidates for cardiac transplant and require circulatory support. <p>Device contraindications:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Unable to tolerate anticoagulation therapy ○ Magnetic Resonance Imaging (MRI) is contraindicated after device implanted ○ Individual with aortic valve regurgitation that is more than moderate that cannot be repaired at the time of implantation should not be implanted with EXCOR. If repair of the aortic valve regurgitation requires surgical closure of the aortic valve, EXCORE should not be implanted. EXCOR is not intended to be used as a total artificial heart and should not be used in this configuration. 	<p>June 2017</p>	<p>P160035</p>
<p>MicroMed Technologies, Inc., Houston, TX</p>		
<p>HeartAssist 5 Pediatric VAD, previously known as the DeBakey VAD® Child Left Ventricular Assist System:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Children between 5 and 16 years of age who have end-stage left ventricular failure requiring temporary mechanical blood circulation until a heart transplant can be performed, intended for both home and hospital use. <p>Device contraindicated in:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ children under 5 years old ○ BSA less than 0.7 m² ○ suffering from right ventricular failure unresolved by medical therapy ○ primary coagulopathy or platelet disorders ○ prior surgery with apical cannulation, pump replacement, or graft anastomosis is not feasible 	<p>February 2004 October 2010</p>	<p>HDE H30003/S010</p>
<p>Thoratec Corporation, Pleasanton, CA</p>		

This Medical Policy provides assistance in understanding Healthy Blue’s standard Medicaid benefit plan. When evaluating coverage for a specific member benefit, reference to federal and state law, as well as contractual requirements may be necessary, since these may differ from our standard benefit plan. In the event of a conflict with standard plan benefits, federal, state and/or contractual requirements will govern. Before using this policy, please check all federal, state and/or contractual requirements applicable to the specific benefit plan coverage. Healthy Blue reserves the right to modify its Policies and Guidelines as necessary and in accordance with legal and contractual requirements. This Medical Policy is provided for informational purposes. It does not constitute medical advice. Healthy Blue may also use tools and criteria developed by third parties, to assist us in administering health benefits. Healthy Blue’s Policies and Guidelines are intended to be used in accordance with the independent professional medical judgment of a qualified health care provider and do not constitute the practice of medicine or medical advice.

Mechanical Circulatory Assist Devices (Ventricular Assist Devices, Percutaneous Ventricular Assist Devices and Artificial Hearts)

<p>CentriMag® RVAD:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Provide temporary circulatory support for up to 14 days for individuals in cardiogenic shock with acute right ventricular failure. • Expanded use as a right ventricular assist device for periods of support up to 30 days for individuals in cardiogenic shock due to acute right ventricular failure. <p>Contraindications:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Use as a cardiotomy suction device. ○ Use in individuals who are unable or unwilling to be treated with heparin or an appropriate alternative anticoagulation. 	June 2008	HDE H070004
	June 2013	HDE H070004/S012

Functional description of Percutaneous Ventricular Assist Devices (Left and Right)

There are also other devices which support left ventricular function that are not classified as LVADs. For instance, the ABIOMED Impella Ventricular Support Systems (Impella Recover LP 2.5 Percutaneous Cardiac Support System, Impella CP Heart Pump and Impella Recover LP 5.0 Percutaneous Cardiac Support System; ABIOMED, Inc., Danvers, MA) can be inserted via standard catheter-based procedure through the femoral artery, into the ascending aorta, across the valve and into the left ventricle. The Impella devices were cleared for marketing by the FDA through the 510(k) process to provide circulatory support using an extracorporeal bypass unit for up to 6 hours. They are intended to be used to provide circulatory support (for periods up to 6 hours) during elective or urgent high risk PCI procedures not requiring cardiopulmonary bypass. The Percutaneous Cardiac Support System also provides pressure measurements which are useful in determining intravascular pressure. In April 2016, the FDA expanded approval for use of Impella devices in the treatment of ongoing cardiogenic shock that occurs immediately (< 48 hours) following acute myocardial infarction (AMI) or open heart surgery. In February 2018, the FDA extended the PMA approval for coverage of the Impella heart pumps for treatment of ongoing cardiogenic shock that occurs in the setting of cardiomyopathy, including peripartum cardiomyopathy, or myocarditis as a result of isolated left ventricular failure that is not responsive to optimal medical management and conventional treatment measures (includes volume loading and use of pressors and inotropes, with or without IABP). The intent of the Impella Support Systems therapy is to reduce ventricular work and to provide the circulatory support necessary to allow heart recovery and early assessment of residual myocardial function. (Product Information, 2018). The FDA based the recent PMA expansion on safety and effectiveness data from the Impella Registry, an ongoing, multi-center, retrospective, observational registry.

On September 25, 2019 the FDA granted ABIOMED premarket approval for the Impella 5.5™ with SmartAssist®, a temporary ventricular support device intended for short-term (14 days) use and indicated for the treatment of ongoing cardiogenic shock that occurs immediately (< 48 hours) following acute myocardial infarction or open heart surgery or heart surgery in the setting of cardiomyopathy, including peripartum cardiomyopathy, or myocarditis as a result of isolated left ventricular failure that is not responsive to optimal medical management and conventional treatment measures (including volume loading and use of pressors and inotropes, with or without IABP). The FDA supplemental approval of the Impella 5.5 with Smart Assist stems from FDA PMA approvals

This Medical Policy provides assistance in understanding Healthy Blue’s standard Medicaid benefit plan. When evaluating coverage for a specific member benefit, reference to federal and state law, as well as contractual requirements may be necessary, since these may differ from our standard benefit plan. In the event of a conflict with standard plan benefits, federal, state and/or contractual requirements will govern. Before using this policy, please check all federal, state and/or contractual requirements applicable to the specific benefit plan coverage. Healthy Blue reserves the right to modify its Policies and Guidelines as necessary and in accordance with legal and contractual requirements. This Medical Policy is provided for informational purposes. It does not constitute medical advice. Healthy Blue may also use tools and criteria developed by third parties, to assist us in administering health benefits. Healthy Blue’s Policies and Guidelines are intended to be used in accordance with the independent professional medical judgment of a qualified health care provider and do not constitute the practice of medicine or medical advice.

Mechanical Circulatory Assist Devices (Ventricular Assist Devices, Percutaneous Ventricular Assist Devices and Artificial Hearts)

indicating Impella devices as safe and effective for the treatment of cardiogenic shock. The approvals were based on data from the FDA study RECOVER I, and the U.S. Impella registry, and the Impella literature.

The TandemHeart system (Cardiac Assist, Inc., Pittsburg, PA) is intended for transseptal catheterization of the left atrium to femoral vein for the purpose of providing means for temporary left ventricular bypass which returns blood to the individuals via the femoral artery or other appropriate site. The device was cleared for marketing by the FDA through the 510(k) process with intended use for extracorporeal circulatory support using an extracorporeal bypass circuit. Intended duration of use is for periods appropriate to cardiopulmonary bypass, up to 6 hours. It is also intended to be used as an extracorporeal circulatory support system (for periods up to 6 hours) for procedures not requiring complete cardiopulmonary bypass (for example, valvuloplasty, mitral valve reoperation, surgery of the vena cava and/or aorta, liver transplantation, etc.). The Protek Duo kit is used when internal jugular venous access is more favorable; the dual lumen cannula is inserted percutaneously. The lumens are connected with the TandemHeart system.

The HeartMate PHP (Thoratec CORP, Pleasanton, CA) is a temporary (< 6 hours) percutaneous heart pump being studied for use in the SHIELD II (Coronary Interventions in High-Risk Patients Using a Novel Percutaneous Left Ventricular Support Device) U.S. IDE Clinical study (NCT02468778). The prospective, randomized multicenter, open-label study was designed to compare the HeartMate PHP to the Impella 2.5 in individuals undergoing high-risk PCI performed in elective or urgent, hemodynamically stable individuals with severe coronary artery disease and decreased left ventricular ejection fraction. In June 2022, the study was terminated by the manufacturer.

On September 20, 2017 Abiomed Inc. (Danvers, MA) received PMA approval for the Impella RP System, a percutaneous administered temporary right ventricular support used for up to 14 days in individuals with a body surface area greater than or equal to 1.5 m², who develop acute right heart failure or decompensation following left ventricular device implantation, myocardial infarction, heart transplant, or open-heart surgery. The RECOVER RIGHT trial (NCT1777607) a prospective, open label, single arm, non-randomized, multicenter study that included 2 cohorts; cohort A enrolled 18 participants who developed right ventricular failure (RVF) after LVAD implantation. Cohort B enrolled 12 participants who developed RVF 48 hours after undergoing cardiac surgery or myocardial infarction (Anderson, 2015). The Anderson and colleagues concluded that preliminary findings for the Impella RP support probable benefit in gravely ill population and suggest that the device may represent as a strategy as a bridge therapy to recovery or to a definitive therapy. The study does not permit conclusion with respect to the use of the Impella RP System in individuals with acute right heart failure or decompensation following LVAD implantation, myocardial infarction, heart transplant, or open-heart surgery.

▪ ***Functional Description of the Total Artificial Heart Systems***

Since the 1950's, when the heart-lung bypass machine was developed, many advances have been made in the surgical treatment of cardiovascular disease. Many surgical procedures considered impossible just a few decades ago are standards of care today. An example is heart transplantation. However, total artificial heart (TAH) technology has not evolved at the same pace. Though the first human heart transplantation and the first TAH implantation occurred within 2 years of each other, TAH implantation is still neither routine nor widely accepted.

This Medical Policy provides assistance in understanding Healthy Blue's standard Medicaid benefit plan. When evaluating coverage for a specific member benefit, reference to federal and state law, as well as contractual requirements may be necessary, since these may differ from our standard benefit plan. In the event of a conflict with standard plan benefits, federal, state and/or contractual requirements will govern. Before using this policy, please check all federal, state and/or contractual requirements applicable to the specific benefit plan coverage. Healthy Blue reserves the right to modify its Policies and Guidelines as necessary and in accordance with legal and contractual requirements. This Medical Policy is provided for informational purposes. It does not constitute medical advice. Healthy Blue may also use tools and criteria developed by third parties, to assist us in administering health benefits. Healthy Blue's Policies and Guidelines are intended to be used in accordance with the independent professional medical judgment of a qualified health care provider and do not constitute the practice of medicine or medical advice.

Mechanical Circulatory Assist Devices (Ventricular Assist Devices, Percutaneous Ventricular Assist Devices and Artificial Hearts)

The SynCardia TAH-t is a pneumatic, biventricular, implantable bridge-to-transplant system for full cardiac replacement, taking the place of the failing heart in individuals at imminent risk of death. The SynCardia TAH-t is attached to the upper chambers of the individual's heart after the lower chambers - the ventricles that pump blood through the body - have been removed. In March 2004, an FDA Advisory Panel voted to recommend commercial approval for the SynCardia TAH-t, provided that use of the device is limited to cardiac transplantation centers and further required that the sponsors agree to a 1-year post-market study. On October 18, 2004, the FDA approved the SynCardia TAH-t, for use as a bridge to transplant in transplant-eligible candidates at risk of imminent death from biventricular failure, subject to the FDA requirement that the manufacturer conduct a post-approval study to monitor the device's performance in commercial use. The CardioWest TAH-t is *contraindicated* for use in:

- individuals who are not cardiac transplant eligible.
- individuals who cannot be adequately anticoagulated on the TAH-t.
- individuals who do not have sufficient space in the chest area vacated by the natural ventricles. Generally this includes individuals who have body surface areas $< 1.7\text{m}^2$, or who have a distance between the sternum and the 10th anterior vertebral body measured by computed tomography imaging $< 10\text{cm}$.

The AbioCor Implantable Replacement Heart System (ABIOMED, Inc., Danvers, MA) is the first fully implantable prosthetic system, intended for permanent use as destination therapy for individuals with end-stage irreversible, biventricular heart failure that has not responded to optimal medical management. Candidates for this device are ineligible for heart transplant. On September 5, 2006 the FDA approved the AbioCor device under the Humanitarian Use Device (HUD) provisions of the Food, Drug and Cosmetic Act. The Center for Devices and Radiological Health (CDRH) of the FDA approved the AbioCor Implantable Replacement Heart System (ABIOMED, Inc. Danvers, Mass) for use in severe biventricular end-stage heart disease individuals who are not cardiac transplant candidates and who:

- Are less than 75 years of age;
- Require multiple inotropic support;
- Are not treatable by LVAD destination therapy; and
- Are not weanable from biventricular support if on such support.

The FDA Advisory Panel previously rejected the approval of this device in June, 2005 based on the poor outcomes in the 14 gravely ill individuals that had received the AbioCor device (50% died from stroke; there were many cases of severe bleeding; and only 1 individual survived 17 months post-device implantation).

The 2006 HUD approval is subject to FDA requirements that a post-approval study be conducted of the first 25 individuals implanted with the AbioCor who will be followed until death while on the device or other outcome. In addition, the CDRH of the FDA may require expert panel review of study data after the first 10 individuals are implanted for further evaluation and recommendations. Currently, the literature regarding the safety and efficacy from the clinical trials has not been published. Therefore, under the HUD exemption, use of the AbioCor is limited to approved clinical trials.

The Freedom™ driver system, (SynCardia Systems, Inc., Tucson, AZ), used in combination with SynCardia TAH-t, as a bridge to transplant in cardiac transplant candidates who are clinically stable, was granted PMA approval by the FDA in July 2014. The Freedom driver is a suitable pneumatic driver for stable total artificial heart candidates

This Medical Policy provides assistance in understanding Healthy Blue's standard Medicaid benefit plan. When evaluating coverage for a specific member benefit, reference to federal and state law, as well as contractual requirements may be necessary, since these may differ from our standard benefit plan. In the event of a conflict with standard plan benefits, federal, state and/or contractual requirements will govern. Before using this policy, please check all federal, state and/or contractual requirements applicable to the specific benefit plan coverage. Healthy Blue reserves the right to modify its Policies and Guidelines as necessary and in accordance with legal and contractual requirements. This Medical Policy is provided for informational purposes. It does not constitute medical advice. Healthy Blue may also use tools and criteria developed by third parties, to assist us in administering health benefits. Healthy Blue's Policies and Guidelines are intended to be used in accordance with the independent professional medical judgment of a qualified health care provider and do not constitute the practice of medicine or medical advice.

Mechanical Circulatory Assist Devices (Ventricular Assist Devices, Percutaneous Ventricular Assist Devices and Artificial Hearts)

who meet criteria and who may have the option to be discharged from the hospital to wait for their matching donor heart in the outpatient setting.

The Carmat TAH (Carmat Total Artificial Heart Early Feasibility Study; NCT04117295) trial is a prospective, multi-center, staged feasibility study designed to assess the initial evidence of safety and performance of the Carmat TAH as a treatment for transplant-eligible individuals in severe, end-stage heart failure. The study was recently suspended in January 2022. The Carmat Total Artificial Heart as a bridge to Transplant in Patients with Advanced Heart Failure (EFICAS, NCT0447593) trial is a multicentric, prospective cohort study designed to evaluate the efficacy and safety of the Carmat TAH in individuals with refractory advanced heart failure that are transplant eligible, and plans to enroll 52 participants with estimated completion in February 2026. The device is currently under investigational device exemption and has not received FDA approval for use outside a clinical trial in the United States.

Definitions

Cardiogenic shock: A state of inadequate tissue perfusion where the heart is suddenly weakened and unable to pump enough blood to meet the body's needs.

Cardiopulmonary bypass: A machine that takes blood from the body, passes it through a machine that pumps oxygen into the blood and returns it to the body, bypassing the individual's own heart and lungs; this machine is commonly used during open-heart surgery.

End stage heart failure: In people with heart failure, the body does not receive an adequate supply of oxygen; as a result, they can feel weak, fatigued or short of breath; everyday activities such as walking, climbing stairs, carrying groceries and yard work can become quite difficult; in end stage heart failure, the heart is so weakened the individual will die without a heart transplant.

Guideline-directed medical therapy (GDMT): This term was adopted by the writing groups for the major specialty medical societies (such as found in Tracy, 2012 and Yancy, 2013) in 2012; the term replaces and is synonymous with "optimal medical therapy."

Heart transplant: Removal of an individual's heart and replacing it with a donor heart.

Humanitarian Device Exemption (HDE): Similar to a premarket approval (PMA) application, but is exempt from the effectiveness requirements of a PMA. An HDE application is not required to contain the results of scientifically valid clinical investigations demonstrating that the device is effective for its intended purpose and does not pose an unreasonable or significant risk of illness or injury. The use of the device is limited to 4000 or less individuals per year.

New York Heart Association (NYHA) Classification:

- **Class III:** Individuals with cardiac disease resulting in marked limitation of physical activity; they are comfortable at rest; less than ordinary activity causes fatigue, palpitation, dyspnea (difficulty breathing) or anginal (chest) pain.

This Medical Policy provides assistance in understanding Healthy Blue's standard Medicaid benefit plan. When evaluating coverage for a specific member benefit, reference to federal and state law, as well as contractual requirements may be necessary, since these may differ from our standard benefit plan. In the event of a conflict with standard plan benefits, federal, state and/or contractual requirements will govern. Before using this policy, please check all federal, state and/or contractual requirements applicable to the specific benefit plan coverage. Healthy Blue reserves the right to modify its Policies and Guidelines as necessary and in accordance with legal and contractual requirements. This Medical Policy is provided for informational purposes. It does not constitute medical advice. Healthy Blue may also use tools and criteria developed by third parties, to assist us in administering health benefits. Healthy Blue's Policies and Guidelines are intended to be used in accordance with the independent professional medical judgment of a qualified health care provider and do not constitute the practice of medicine or medical advice.

Mechanical Circulatory Assist Devices (Ventricular Assist Devices, Percutaneous Ventricular Assist Devices and Artificial Hearts)

- Class IV: Individuals with cardiac disease resulting in inability to carry on any physical activity without discomfort; symptoms of heart failure or the anginal (chest) syndrome may be present even at rest; if any physical activity is undertaken, discomfort is increased.

Pre-Market Approval (PMA): The most stringent type of device marketing application required by the FDA. A PMA is an application submitted to the FDA to request clearance to market or to continue marketing of a Class III medical device. Class III medical devices are those devices that present significant risk to the individual and/or require significant scientific review of the safety and effectiveness of the medical device prior to commercial introduction. Frequently the FDA requires follow-up studies for these devices.

Post cardiotomy: The period of time after heart surgery.

Ventricle: One of a pair of muscular chambers of the heart that pump blood into the body.

Coding

The following codes for treatments and procedures applicable to this document are included below for informational purposes. Inclusion or exclusion of a procedure, diagnosis or device code(s) does not constitute or imply member coverage or provider reimbursement policy. Please refer to the member’s contract benefits in effect at the time of service to determine coverage or non-coverage of these services as it applies to an individual member.

Ventricular Assist Devices and Artificial Heart Systems

When services may be Medically Necessary when criteria are met:

CPT

	<i>Ventricular Assist Devices</i>
33975	Insertion of ventricular assist device; extracorporeal, single ventricle
33976	Insertion of ventricular assist device; extracorporeal, biventricular
33979	Insertion of ventricular assist device; implantable intracorporeal, single ventricle
33981	Replacement of extracorporeal ventricular assist device, single or biventricular, pump(s), single or each pump
33982	Replacement of ventricular assist device pump(s); implantable intracorporeal, single ventricle, without cardiopulmonary bypass
33983	Replacement of ventricular assist device pump(s); implantable intracorporeal, single ventricle, with cardiopulmonary bypass
	<i>Artificial heart</i>
33927	Implantation of a total replacement heart system (artificial heart) with recipient cardiectomy
33928	Removal and replacement of total replacement heart system (artificial heart)
33929	Removal of a total replacement heart system (artificial heart) for heart transplantation

ICD-10 Procedure

Ventricular Assist Devices

This Medical Policy provides assistance in understanding Healthy Blue’s standard Medicaid benefit plan. When evaluating coverage for a specific member benefit, reference to federal and state law, as well as contractual requirements may be necessary, since these may differ from our standard benefit plan. In the event of a conflict with standard plan benefits, federal, state and/or contractual requirements will govern. Before using this policy, please check all federal, state and/or contractual requirements applicable to the specific benefit plan coverage. Healthy Blue reserves the right to modify its Policies and Guidelines as necessary and in accordance with legal and contractual requirements. This Medical Policy is provided for informational purposes. It does not constitute medical advice. Healthy Blue may also use tools and criteria developed by third parties, to assist us in administering health benefits. Healthy Blue’s Policies and Guidelines are intended to be used in accordance with the independent professional medical judgment of a qualified health care provider and do not constitute the practice of medicine or medical advice.

Mechanical Circulatory Assist Devices (Ventricular Assist Devices, Percutaneous Ventricular Assist Devices and Artificial Hearts)

02HA0QZ-02HA4QZ	Insertion of implantable heart assist system into heart [by approach; includes codes 02HA0QZ, 02HA3QZ, 02HA4QZ]
02HA0RJ-02HA4RJ	Insertion of short-term external heart assist system into heart, intraoperative [by open or percutaneous endoscopic approach; includes codes 02HA0RJ, 02HA4RJ]
02HA0RS-02HA4RS	Insertion of short-term external heart assist system into heart, biventricular [by open or percutaneous endoscopic approach; includes codes 02HA0RS, 02HA4RS]
02HA0RZ-02HA4RZ	Insertion of short-term external heart assist system into heart [by open or percutaneous endoscopic approach; includes codes 02HA0RZ, 02HA4RZ]
02WA0QZ-02WA4QZ	Revision of implantable heart assist system in heart [by approach; includes codes 02WA0QZ, 02WA3QZ, 02WA4QZ]
5A02116	Assistance with cardiac output using other pump, intermittent
5A02216	Assistance with cardiac output using other pump, continuous
	<i>Artificial heart</i>
02RA0MZ	Replacement of heart with synthetic substitute, pneumatic, open approach [Note: code effective 10/01/2021]
02RK0JZ-02RK4JZ	Replacement of right ventricle with synthetic substitute [by approach; includes codes 02RK0JZ, 02RK4JZ]
02RL0JZ-02RL4JZ	Replacement of left ventricle with synthetic substitute [by approach; includes codes 02RL0JZ, 02RL4JZ]
02UA0JZ-02UA4JZ	Supplement heart with synthetic substitute [by approach; includes codes 02UA0JZ, 02UA3JZ, 02UA4JZ]
02WA0JZ	Revision of synthetic substitute in heart, open approach

ICD-10 Diagnosis

All diagnoses

When Services are Not Medically Necessary:

For the procedure codes listed above when criteria are not met, for the following procedure code, or when the code describes a procedure indicated in the Position Statement section as not medically necessary.

ICD-10-Procedure

02RA0LZ Replacement of heart with biologic and synthetic substitute, autoregulated electrohydraulic, open approach

ICD-10 Diagnosis

All diagnoses

Percutaneous Ventricular Assist Devices (pVADs)

When services may be Medically Necessary when criteria are met:

CPT

33990 Insertion of ventricular assist device, percutaneous, including radiological supervision and interpretation; left heart, arterial access only

This Medical Policy provides assistance in understanding Healthy Blue’s standard Medicaid benefit plan. When evaluating coverage for a specific member benefit, reference to federal and state law, as well as contractual requirements may be necessary, since these may differ from our standard benefit plan. In the event of a conflict with standard plan benefits, federal, state and/or contractual requirements will govern. Before using this policy, please check all federal, state and/or contractual requirements applicable to the specific benefit plan coverage. Healthy Blue reserves the right to modify its Policies and Guidelines as necessary and in accordance with legal and contractual requirements. This Medical Policy is provided for informational purposes. It does not constitute medical advice. Healthy Blue may also use tools and criteria developed by third parties, to assist us in administering health benefits. Healthy Blue’s Policies and Guidelines are intended to be used in accordance with the independent professional medical judgment of a qualified health care provider and do not constitute the practice of medicine or medical advice.

Mechanical Circulatory Assist Devices (Ventricular Assist Devices, Percutaneous Ventricular Assist Devices and Artificial Hearts)

33991	Insertion of ventricular assist device, percutaneous, including radiological supervision and interpretation; left heart, both arterial and venous access, with transeptal puncture
33993	Repositioning of percutaneous right or left heart ventricular assist device with imaging guidance at separate and distinct session from insertion
33995	Insertion of ventricular assist device, percutaneous, including radiological supervision and interpretation; right heart, venous access only

ICD-10 Procedure

02HA3RJ	Insertion of short-term external heart assist system into heart, intraoperative, percutaneous approach
02HA3RS	Insertion of short-term external heart assist system into heart, biventricular, percutaneous approach
02HA3RZ	Insertion of short-term external heart assist system into heart, percutaneous approach
02HL3DZ	Insertion of intraluminal device into left ventricle, percutaneous approach [when specified as pVAD device]
5A0211D	Assistance with cardiac output using impeller pump, intermittent
5A0221D	Assistance with cardiac output using impeller pump, continuous

ICD-10 Diagnosis

R57.0	Cardiogenic shock
T81.11XA-T81.11XS	Postprocedural cardiogenic shock

When services are Not Medically Necessary:

For the procedure and diagnosis codes listed above when criteria are not met, or for all other indications.

References

Peer Reviewed Publications:

1. Aaronson, KD, Eppinger MJ, Dyke DB, et al. Left ventricular assist device therapy improves utilization of donor hearts. *J Am Coll Cardiol.* 2002; 39(8):1247-1254.
2. Aaronson KD, Slaughter MS, Miller LW, et al. Use of an intrapericardial, continuous-flow, centrifugal pump in patients awaiting heart transplantation. *Circulation.* 2012; 125(25):3191-3200.
3. Adams KF, Lindenfeld J, Arnold JMO, et al. Heart Failure Society of America (HFSA) Comprehensive heart failure practice guideline. *J Card Fail.* 2006; 12(1):e86-e103.
4. Anderson MB, Goldstein J, Milano C, et al. Benefits of novel percutaneous ventricular assist device for right heart failure: the prospective RECOVER RIGHT study of the Impella RP device. *J Heart Lung Transplant.* 2015; 34(12):1549-1560.
5. Arabia FA, Copeland JG, Smith RG, et al. CardioWest total artificial heart: a retrospective controlled study. *Artif Organs.* 1999; 23(2):204-207.
6. Arjomandi Rad A, Kapadia S, Zubarevich A, et al. Sex disparities in left ventricular assist device implantation outcomes: A systematic review and meta-analysis of over 50,000 patients. *Artif Organs.* 2023; 47(2):273-289.

This Medical Policy provides assistance in understanding Healthy Blue’s standard Medicaid benefit plan. When evaluating coverage for a specific member benefit, reference to federal and state law, as well as contractual requirements may be necessary, since these may differ from our standard benefit plan. In the event of a conflict with standard plan benefits, federal, state and/or contractual requirements will govern. Before using this policy, please check all federal, state and/or contractual requirements applicable to the specific benefit plan coverage. Healthy Blue reserves the right to modify its Policies and Guidelines as necessary and in accordance with legal and contractual requirements. This Medical Policy is provided for informational purposes. It does not constitute medical advice. Healthy Blue may also use tools and criteria developed by third parties, to assist us in administering health benefits. Healthy Blue’s Policies and Guidelines are intended to be used in accordance with the independent professional medical judgment of a qualified health care provider and do not constitute the practice of medicine or medical advice.

Mechanical Circulatory Assist Devices (Ventricular Assist Devices, Percutaneous Ventricular Assist Devices and Artificial Hearts)

7. Arnold SV, Jones PG, Allen LA, et al. Frequency of poor outcome (death or poor quality of life) after left ventricular assist device for destination therapy. *Circ Heart Fail.* 2016; 9:e002800.
8. Basir MB, Kapur NK, Patel K, et al. Improved outcomes associated with the use of Shock Protocols: updates from the National Cardiogenic Shock initiative. *Catheter Cardiovasc Interv.* 2019; 93(7):1173-1183.
9. Burkhoff D, Cohen H, Brunckhorst C, O'Neill WW.; TandemHeart Investigators Group. A randomized multicenter clinical study to evaluate the safety and efficacy of the TandemHeart percutaneous ventricular assist device versus conventional therapy with intraaortic balloon pumping for treatment of cardiogenic shock. *Am Heart J.* 2006a; 152(3):469, e1-8.
10. Burkhoff D, O'Neill W, Brunckhorst C, et al. Feasibility study of the use of the TandemHeart percutaneous ventricular assist device for treatment of cardiogenic shock. *Catheter Cardiovasc Interv.* 2006b; 68(2):211-217.
11. Cascino TM, Colvin MM, Lanfear DE, et al.; Revival Investigators. Racial inequities in access to ventricular assist device and transplant persist after consideration for preferences for care: A report from the Revival study. *Circ Heart Fail.* 2023; 16(1):e009745.
12. Cheng JM, den Uil CA, Hoeks SE, et al. Percutaneous left ventricular assist devices vs. intra-aortic balloon pump counterpulsation for treatment of cardiogenic shock: a meta-analysis of controlled trials. *Eur Heart J.* 2009; 30(17):2102-2108.
13. Cohen MG, Matthews R, Maini B, et al. Percutaneous left ventricular assist device for high-risk percutaneous coronary interventions: Real-world versus clinical trial experience. *Am Heart J.* 2015; 170(5):872-879.
14. Copeland JG, Arabia FA, Tsau PH, et al. Total artificial hearts: bridge to transplantation. *Cardiol Clin.* 2003; 21(1):101-113.
15. Copeland JG, Smith RG, Arabia FA, et al. Cardiac replacement with a total artificial heart as a bridge to transplantation. *N Engl J Med.* 2004a; 351(9):859-867.
16. Copeland JG, Smith RG, Arabia FA, et al. Total artificial heart bridge to transplantation: a 9-year experience with 62 patients. *J Heart Lung Transplant.* 2004b; 23(7):823-831.
17. Copeland JG 3rd, Smith RG, Arabia FA, et al. Comparison of the CardioWest total artificial heart, the Novacor left ventricular assist system and the Thoratec ventricular assist system in bridge to transplantation. *Ann Thorac Surg.* 2001; 71(3 Suppl):S92-S97.
18. Copeland JG, Smith RG, Arabia FA, et al. The CardioWest total artificial heart as a bridge to transplantation. *Semin Thorac Cardiovasc Surg.* 2000; 12(3):238-242.
19. Copeland JG, Arabia FA, Smith RG, et al. Arizona experience with CardioWest Total artificial heart bridge to transplantation. *Ann Thorac Surg.* 1999; 68(2):756-760.
20. Dangas GD, Kini AS, Sharma KS, et al. Impact of hemodynamic support with Impella 2.5 versus intra-aortic balloon pump on prognostically important clinical outcomes in patients undergoing high-risk percutaneous coronary intervention (from the PROTECT II randomized trial). *Am J Cardiol.* 2014; 113:222-228.
21. Deng MC, Loebe M, El-Banayosy A, et al. Mechanical circulatory support for advanced heart failure: effect of patient selection on outcome. *Circulation.* 2001; 103(2):231-237.
22. Dhruva SS, Ross JS, Mortazavi BJ, et al. Association of use of an intravascular microaxial left ventricular assist device vs intra-aortic balloon pump with in-hospital mortality and major bleeding among patients with acute myocardial infarction complicated by cardiogenic shock. *JAMA.* 2020; 323(8):734-745.
23. Dixon SR, Henriques JP, Mauri L, et al. A prospective feasibility trial investigating the use of the Impella 2.5 system in patients undergoing high-risk percutaneous coronary intervention (The PROTECT I Trial). *JACC Cardiovasc Interv.* 2009; 2(2):91-96.

This Medical Policy provides assistance in understanding Healthy Blue's standard Medicaid benefit plan. When evaluating coverage for a specific member benefit, reference to federal and state law, as well as contractual requirements may be necessary, since these may differ from our standard benefit plan. In the event of a conflict with standard plan benefits, federal, state and/or contractual requirements will govern. Before using this policy, please check all federal, state and/or contractual requirements applicable to the specific benefit plan coverage. Healthy Blue reserves the right to modify its Policies and Guidelines as necessary and in accordance with legal and contractual requirements. This Medical Policy is provided for informational purposes. It does not constitute medical advice. Healthy Blue may also use tools and criteria developed by third parties, to assist us in administering health benefits. Healthy Blue's Policies and Guidelines are intended to be used in accordance with the independent professional medical judgment of a qualified health care provider and do not constitute the practice of medicine or medical advice.

Mechanical Circulatory Assist Devices (Ventricular Assist Devices, Percutaneous Ventricular Assist Devices and Artificial Hearts)

24. Dowling RD, Gray LA, Etoch SW, et al. The AbioCor implantable replacement heart. *Ann Thorac Surg.* 2003; 75(6 Suppl):S93-S99.
25. Dowling RD, Gray L, Etoch SW, et al. Initial experience with the AbioCor implantable replacement heart system. *J Thoracic Cardiovasc Surg.* 2004; 127(1):131-141.
26. Engström A, Sjauw K, Baan J, et al. Long-term safety and sustained left ventricular recovery: long-term results of percutaneous left ventricular support with Impella LP2.5 in ST-elevation myocardial infarction. *EuroIntervention.* 2011; 6(7):860-865.
27. Frazier OH, Myers TJ, Gregoric ID, et al. Initial clinical experience with the Jarvik 2000 implantable axial-flow left ventricular assist device. *Circulation.* 2002; 105(24):2855-2860.
28. Frazier OH, Rose EA, Oz MC, et al. Multicenter clinical evaluation of the HeartMate vented electric left ventricular assist system in patients awaiting heart transplantation. *J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg.* 2001; 122(6): 1186-1195.
29. Frazier OH, Dowling RD, Gray LA, et al. The total artificial heart: where we stand. *Cardiology.* 2004; 101(1-3):117-121.
30. Goldstein DJ, Yoshifumi N, Horstmanshof D, et al. Association of clinical outcomes with left ventricular assist device use by bridge to transplant or destination therapy intent: the multicenter study of MagLev technology in patients undergoing circulatory support therapy with HeartMate 3 (MOMENTUM 3) randomized clinical trial. *JAMA Cardiol.* 2020; 5(4):411-419.
31. Henriques JP, Ouweneel DM, Naidu SS, et al. Evaluating the learning curve in the prospective randomized clinical trial of hemodynamic support with Impella 2.5 versus intra-aortic balloon pump in patients undergoing high-risk percutaneous coronary intervention: a prespecified subanalysis of the PROTECT II study. *Am Heart J.* 2014; 167(4):472-479.
32. Holman WL, Davies JE, Rayburn BK, et al. Treatment of end stage heart disease with outpatient ventricular assist devices. *Ann Thorac Surg.* 2002; 73(5):1489-1493.
33. Jaski BE, Kim JC, Naftel DC, et al. Cardiac transplant outcome of patients supported on left ventricular assist device versus intravenous inotropic therapy. *J Heart Lung Transplant.* 2001; 20(4):449-456.
34. Jessup, M. Mechanical cardiac-support devices- dreams and devilish details. *N Engl J Med.* 2001; 345(20): 1490-1493.
35. Jorde UP, Kushwaha SS, Tatooles AJ, et al. Results of the destination therapy post-food and drug administration approval study with a continuous flow left ventricular assist device. *J Amer Col Card.* 2014; 63(17):1751-1757.
36. Kovacic JC, Kini A, Banerjee S, et al. Patients with 3-vessel coronary artery disease and impaired ventricular function undergoing PCI with Impella 2.5 hemodynamic support have improved 90-day outcome compared to intra-aortic balloon pump: a sub-study of the PROTECT II trial. *J Interven Cardiol.* 2015; 28:32-40.
37. Krabatsch T, Netuka I, Schmitto JD, et al. Heartmate 3 fully magnetically levitated left ventricular assist device for the treatment of advanced heart failure -1 year results for the Ce mark trial. *J Cardiothoracic Surg.* 2017; 12(23):1-8.
38. Lauten A, Engström AE, Jung C, et al. Percutaneous left-ventricular support with Impella 2.5-assist device in acute cardiogenic shock: results of the Impella-EUROSHOCK-Registry. *Circ Heart Fail.* 2013; 6(1):23-30.
39. LePrince P, Bonnet N, Rama A, et al. Bridge to transplantation with the Jarvik-7 (CardioWest) total artificial heart: a single-center 15-year experience. *J Heart Lung Transplant.* 2003; 22(12):1296-1303.
40. Lick S. Cardiology grand rounds from the University of Texas Medical Branch: Surgical therapy for cardiomyopathy. *Am J Med Sci.* 2000; 320(2):135-143.

This Medical Policy provides assistance in understanding Healthy Blue’s standard Medicaid benefit plan. When evaluating coverage for a specific member benefit, reference to federal and state law, as well as contractual requirements may be necessary, since these may differ from our standard benefit plan. In the event of a conflict with standard plan benefits, federal, state and/or contractual requirements will govern. Before using this policy, please check all federal, state and/or contractual requirements applicable to the specific benefit plan coverage. Healthy Blue reserves the right to modify its Policies and Guidelines as necessary and in accordance with legal and contractual requirements. This Medical Policy is provided for informational purposes. It does not constitute medical advice. Healthy Blue may also use tools and criteria developed by third parties, to assist in administering health benefits. Healthy Blue’s Policies and Guidelines are intended to be used in accordance with the independent professional medical judgment of a qualified health care provider and do not constitute the practice of medicine or medical advice.

Mechanical Circulatory Assist Devices (Ventricular Assist Devices, Percutaneous Ventricular Assist Devices and Artificial Hearts)

41. Long JW, Kfoury AG, Slaughter MS, et al. Long-term destination therapy with the HeartMate XVE left ventricular assist device: improved outcomes since the REMATCH study. *Congest Heart Fail.* 2005; 11(3):133-138.
42. Mehra MR, Cleveland Jr JC, Uriel N, et al. Primary results of long-term outcomes in the MOMENTUM 3 pivotal trial and continued access protocol study phase: a study of 2200 HeartMate 3 left ventricular assist device implants. *Eur J Heart Fail.* 2021 Aug; 23(8):1392-1400.
43. Mehra MR, Goldstein DJ, Cleveland JC, et al. Five-year outcomes in patients with fully magnetically levitated vs axial-flow left ventricular assist devices in the Momentum 3 randomized trial. *JAMA.* 2022; 328(12):1233-1242.
44. Mehra MR, Goldstein N, Uriel N, et al.; MOMENTUM 3 Investigators. Two-year outcomes with a magnetically levitated cardiac pump in heart failure. *N Engl J Med.* 2018; 378(15):1386-1395.
45. Mehra MR, Naka Y, Uriel N, et al. A fully magnetically levitated circulatory pump for advanced heart failure. *N Engl J Med.* 2017; 376(5):440-450.
46. Mehra MR, Uber PA, Uber WE, Ventura HO. Destination therapy in late stage heart failure. *Coron Artery Dis.* 2004; 15(2):87-90.
47. Mehra MR, Uriel Y, Naka Y, et al. A fully magnetically levitated left ventricular assist device – final report. *N Eng J Med.* 2019; 380(17):1618-1627.
48. Morgan JA, John R, Rao V, et al. Bridging to transplant with the HeartMate left ventricular assist device: the Columbia Presbyterian 12-year experience. *J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg.* 2004; 127(5):1309-1316.
49. O'Neill WW, Kleiman NS, Moses J, et al. A prospective, randomized clinical trial of hemodynamic support with Impella 2.5 versus intra-aortic balloon pump in patients undergoing high-risk percutaneous coronary intervention: the PROTECT II study. *Circulation.* 2012; 126(14):1717-1727.
50. O'Neill WW. The current use of Impella 2.5 in acute myocardial infarction complicated by cardiogenic shock: Results from the USpella Registry. *J Interv Cardiol.* 2014; 27(1):1-11.
51. Park SJ, Tector A, Piccioni W, et al. Left ventricular assist devices as destination therapy: a new look at survival. *J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg.* 2005; 129(1):9-17.
52. Petrou M. Implantable left ventricular assist devices. *Br J Cardiol (Acute Interv Cardiol).* 2003; 10(3):AIC78-AIC81.
53. Richenbacher WE, Naka Y, Raines EP, et al. Surgical management of patients in the REMATCH trial. *Ann Thorac Surg.* 2003; 75(6 Suppl):S86-S92.
54. Rose EA, Gelijns AC, Moskowitz AJ, et al. Long-term use of a left ventricular assist device for end-stage heart failure. *N Engl J Med.* 2001; 345(20):1435-1443.
55. Samuels LE, Dowling R. Total artificial heart: destination therapy. *Cardiol Clin.* 2003; 21(1):115-118.
56. Seyfarth M, Sibbing D, Bauer I, et al. A randomized clinical trial to evaluate the safety and efficacy of a percutaneous left ventricular assist device versus intra-aortic balloon pumping for treatment of cardiogenic shock caused by myocardial infarction. *J Am Coll Cardiol.* 2008; 52(19):1584-1588.
57. Shi Y, Want Y, Sun X, et al. Effects of mechanical circulatory support devices in patients with acute myocardial infarction undergoing stent implantation: a systematic review and meta-analysis of randomised controlled trials. *BMJ Open.* 2021; 11(6):e044072.
58. Starling RC, Moazami N, Silvestry SC, et al. Unexpected abrupt increase in left ventricular assist device thrombosis. *N Eng J Med.* 2014;373:33-40.
59. Stevenson LW, Miller LW, Desvigne-Nickens P, et al.; REMATCH Investigators. Left ventricular assist device as destination for patients undergoing intravenous inotropic therapy: a subset analysis from

This Medical Policy provides assistance in understanding Healthy Blue's standard Medicaid benefit plan. When evaluating coverage for a specific member benefit, reference to federal and state law, as well as contractual requirements may be necessary, since these may differ from our standard benefit plan. In the event of a conflict with standard plan benefits, federal, state and/or contractual requirements will govern. Before using this policy, please check all federal, state and/or contractual requirements applicable to the specific benefit plan coverage. Healthy Blue reserves the right to modify its Policies and Guidelines as necessary and in accordance with legal and contractual requirements. This Medical Policy is provided for informational purposes. It does not constitute medical advice. Healthy Blue may also use tools and criteria developed by third parties, to assist us in administering health benefits. Healthy Blue's Policies and Guidelines are intended to be used in accordance with the independent professional medical judgment of a qualified health care provider and do not constitute the practice of medicine or medical advice.

Mechanical Circulatory Assist Devices (Ventricular Assist Devices, Percutaneous Ventricular Assist Devices and Artificial Hearts)

- REMATCH (Randomized Evaluation of Mechanical Assistance in Treatment of Chronic Heart Failure). *Circulation*. 2004; 110(8):975-981.
60. Stevenson LW, Rose EA. Left ventricular assist devices: bridges to transplantation, recovery, and destination for whom? *Circulation*. 2003; 108(25):3059-3063.
 61. Stretch R, Saucer CM, Yah DD, Bonde P. National trends in the utilization of short-term mechanical circulatory support. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2014; 64(14):1407-1415.
 62. Stueber M, Larbalestier R, Jansz P, et al. Results of post-market registry to evaluate the HeartWare Left Ventricular Assist System (ReVOLVE). *J Heart Lung Transplant*. 2014; 33(5):486-491.
 63. Tehrani BN, Truesdell AG, Sherwood MW, et al. Standardized team-based care for cardiogenic shock. *J Amer Coll Cardiol*. 2019; 73(13):1659-1669.
 64. Thiele H, Sick P, Boudriot E, et al. Randomized comparison of intra-aortic balloon support with a percutaneous left ventricular assist device in patients with revascularized acute myocardial infarction complicated by cardiogenic shock. *Eur Heart J*. 2005; 26(13):1276-1283.
 65. Torregrossa G, Morshuis M, Varghese R, et al. Results with Syncardia total artificial heart beyond 1 year. *ASAIO J*. 2014; 60(6):626-634.
 66. Vitali E, Lanfranconi M, Bruschi G, et al. Left ventricular assist devices as bridge to heart transplantation: the Niguarda experience. *J Card Surg*. 2003; 18(2):107-113.
 67. Westaby S, Banning AP, Saito S, et al. Circulatory support for long-term treatment of heart failure: experience with an intraventricular continuous flow pump. *Circulation*. 2002; 105(22):2588-2591.
 68. Wieselthaler GM, Schima H, Hiesmayr M, et al. First clinical experience with the DeBakey VAD continuous-axial-flow pump for bridge to transplantation. *Circulation*. 2000; 101(4):356-359.

Government Agency, Medical Society, and Other Authoritative Publications:

1. Bernhardt, A. M., Copeland, H., Deswal, A., Gluck, J., Givertz, M. M., Task Force 1, Task Force 2, Task Force 3, & Task Force 4 (2023). The International Society for Heart and Lung Transplantation/Heart Failure Society of America Guideline on Acute Mechanical Circulatory Support. *Journal of cardiac failure*, 29(3), 304–374, hyperlink.
2. Blue Cross Blue Shield Association. Left ventricular assist devices as destination therapy for end stage heart failure. *TEC Assessment*, 2002; 17(19).
3. Carmat SA. Carmat TAH early feasibility study. NLM Identifier: NCT04117295. Last updated July 20, 2022. Available at: <https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT04117295>. Accessed on March 30, 2023.
4. Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services. National Coverage Determination for: Artificial hearts and related devices. NCD#20.9. Effective October 30, 2013. Available at: <http://www.cms.gov/medicare-coverage-database/overview-and-quick-search.aspx>. Accessed on March 30, 2023.
5. Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services. National coverage Determination for: Ventricular assist devices. NCD#20.9.1. Effective December 1, 2020. Available at: <http://www.cms.gov/medicare-coverage-database/overview-and-quick-search.aspx>. Accessed on March 30, 2023.
6. Feldman D, Pamboukian SV, Teuteberg JJ, et al. The 2013 International Society for Heart and Lung Transplant guidelines for mechanical circulatory support: executive summary. *J Heart Lung Transplant*. 2013; 32(2):157-187.
7. Heidenreich PA, Bozkurt B, Aguilar D, et al. 2022 AHA/ACC/HFSA Guideline for the management of heart failure: A report of the American College of Cardiology/ American Heart Association Joint Committee on

This Medical Policy provides assistance in understanding Healthy Blue's standard Medicaid benefit plan. When evaluating coverage for a specific member benefit, reference to federal and state law, as well as contractual requirements may be necessary, since these may differ from our standard benefit plan. In the event of a conflict with standard plan benefits, federal, state and/or contractual requirements will govern. Before using this policy, please check all federal, state and/or contractual requirements applicable to the specific benefit plan coverage. Healthy Blue reserves the right to modify its Policies and Guidelines as necessary and in accordance with legal and contractual requirements. This Medical Policy is provided for informational purposes. It does not constitute medical advice. Healthy Blue may also use tools and criteria developed by third parties, to assist us in administering health benefits. Healthy Blue's Policies and Guidelines are intended to be used in accordance with the independent professional medical judgment of a qualified health care provider and do not constitute the practice of medicine or medical advice.

Mechanical Circulatory Assist Devices (Ventricular Assist Devices, Percutaneous Ventricular Assist Devices and Artificial Hearts)

- Clinical Practice Guidelines. *J Amer Coll Cardiol*. 2022. Available at: <https://www.ahajournals.org/doi/10.1161/CIR.000000000001063>. Accessed on April 4, 2022.
8. Hunt SA, Abraham WT, Chin MH, et al. 2009 Focused Update Incorporated Into the ACC/AHA 2005 Guideline Update for the Diagnosis and Management of Chronic Heart Failure in the Adult. A Report of the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association Task Force on Practice Guidelines. Available at: <http://circ.ahajournals.org/content/119/14/e391.full.pdf>. Accessed on March 30, 2023.
 9. Hunt SA, Abraham WT, Chin MH, et al. ACC/AHA 2005 Guideline Update for the Diagnosis and Management of Chronic Heart Failure in the Adult. A Report of the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association Task Force on Practice Guidelines (Writing Committee to update the 2001 Guidelines for the Evaluation and Management of Heart Failure). Available at: <https://circ.ahajournals.org/content/112/12/e154.full.pdf+html>. Accessed on March 30, 2023.
 10. Lorts, A., Conway, J., Schweiger, M., et al. International Society for Heart and Lung Transportation (ISHLT) consensus statement for the selection and management of pediatric and congenital heart disease patients on ventricular assist devices Endorsed by the American Heart Association. *J Heart Lung Transplant*. 2021; 40(8):709-732.
 11. Ponikowski P, Voors AA, Anker SD, et al. 2016 ESC guidelines for the diagnosis and treatment of acute and chronic heart failure. The Task Force for the diagnosis and treatment of acute and chronic heart failure of the European Society of Cardiology (ESC). *Eur Heart J*. 2016; 37(27):2129-2200.
 12. Rihal CS, Naidu SS, Givertz MM, et al. 2015 SCAI/ACC/HFSA/STS Clinical Expert Consensus statement on the use of percutaneous mechanical circulatory support devices in cardiovascular care. *J Amer Col Cardiol*. 2015; 65(19):e7-e26.
 13. Thoratec Corporation. Coronary interventions in high-risk patients using a novel percutaneous left ventricular support device (SHIELD II). NLM Identifier: NCT02468778. Last updated December 3, 2021. Available at: <https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT02468778>. Accessed on March 30, 2023.
 14. Tracy CM, Epstein AE, Darbar D, et al. 2012 ACCF/AHA/HRS focused update of the 2008 guidelines for device-based therapy of cardiac rhythm abnormalities: a report of the American College of Cardiology Foundation/American Heart Association Task Force on Practice Guidelines. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2012; 60(14):1297-1313.
 15. U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA). Center for Devices and Radiological Health (CDRH). Approval letter: AbioCor Implantable Replacement Heart. September 5, 2006. HUD # 2003-0110. Available at: http://www.accessdata.fda.gov/cdrh_docs/pdf4/H040006a.pdf. Accessed on March 30, 2023.
 16. U.S Food and Drug Administration (FDA). Medical Device Safety. Medical Device Recalls. Medtronic stops distribution and sale of HeartWare HVAD System due to risk of neurological adverse events, mortality, and potential failure to restart. Updated August 12, 2021. Available at: <https://www.fda.gov/medical-devices/medical-device-recalls/medtronic-stops-distribution-and-sale-heartware-hvad-system-due-risk-neurological-adverse-events>. Accessed on March 30, 2023.
 17. U.S. Food and Drug Administration Pre Market Approval (PMA). HeartMate II. No. P060040. Rockville, MD: FDA. January 20, 2010. Available at: http://www.accessdata.fda.gov/cdrh_docs/pdf6/P060040S005a.pdf. Accessed on March 30, 2023.
 18. U.S. Food and Drug Administration Pre Market Approval (PMA). HeartMate 3 Left Ventricular Assist System. No. P160054. Rockville, MD: FDA. October 18, 2018. Available at: <https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/scripts/cdrh/cfdocs/cfpma/pma.cfm?ID=396111>. Accessed on March 30, 2023.

This Medical Policy provides assistance in understanding Healthy Blue's standard Medicaid benefit plan. When evaluating coverage for a specific member benefit, reference to federal and state law, as well as contractual requirements may be necessary, since these may differ from our standard benefit plan. In the event of a conflict with standard plan benefits, federal, state and/or contractual requirements will govern. Before using this policy, please check all federal, state and/or contractual requirements applicable to the specific benefit plan coverage. Healthy Blue reserves the right to modify its Policies and Guidelines as necessary and in accordance with legal and contractual requirements. This Medical Policy is provided for informational purposes. It does not constitute medical advice. Healthy Blue may also use tools and criteria developed by third parties, to assist in administering health benefits. Healthy Blue's Policies and Guidelines are intended to be used in accordance with the independent professional medical judgment of a qualified health care provider and do not constitute the practice of medicine or medical advice.

Mechanical Circulatory Assist Devices (Ventricular Assist Devices, Percutaneous Ventricular Assist Devices and Artificial Hearts)

19. U.S. Food and Drug Administration Pre Market Approval (PMA). HeartWare™ HVAD™. No. P100047/S090. Rockville, MD: FDA. September 27, 2017. Available at: <https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/scripts/cdrh/cfdocs/cfpma/pma.cfm?id=P100047S090>. Accessed on March 30, 2023.
20. U.S. Food and Drug Administration Pre Market Approval (PMA). Impella CP Heart Pump. No. P140003/S018. Rockville, MD: FDA. February 7, 2018. Available at: https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/cdrh_docs/pdf14/P140003S018A.pdf. Accessed on March 30, 2023.
21. U.S. Food and Drug Administration Pre Market Approval (PMA). Impella RP System. No. P170011. Rockville, MD: FDA. September 20, 2017. Available at: <https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/scripts/cdrh/cfdocs/cfpma/pma.cfm?id=P170011>. Accessed on March 30, 2023.
22. U.S. Food and Drug Administration Pre Market Approval (PMA). Impella Ventricular Support Systems. No. P140003/S018. Rockville, MD: FDA. Last updated February 7, 2018. Available at: <https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/scripts/cdrh/cfdocs/cfpma/pma.cfm?id=P140003S018>. Accessed on March 30, 2023.
23. U.S. Food and Drug Administration Pre Market Approval (PMA). SynCardia temporary Total Artificial Heart. No. P030011/S022. Rockville, MD: FDA. December 13, 2013. Available at: http://www.accessdata.fda.gov/scripts/cdrh/cfdocs/cfpma/pma_template.cfm?id=p030011. Accessed on March 30, 2023.
24. Yancy CW, Jessup M, Bozkurt B, et al. 2017 ACC/AHA/HFSA focused update of the 2013 ACCF/AHA guideline for the management of heart failure: a report of the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association Task Force on Clinical Practice Guidelines and the Heart Failure Society of America. *J Am Coll Cardiol.* 2017; 70(6):776-803.
25. Yancy CW, Jessup M, Bozkurt B, et al. 2013 ACCF/AHA guideline for the management of heart failure. A report of the American College of Cardiology Foundation/American Heart Association Task Force on Practice Guidelines. *Circulation.* 2013; 128(16):e240-e327.

Websites for Additional Information

1. American Heart Association web site. Available at: <https://www.heart.org>. Accessed on March 30, 2023.
2. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Heart failure. Last reviewed January 05, 2023. Available at: https://www.cdc.gov/heartdisease/heart_failure.htm. Accessed on March 30, 2023.
3. National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute. What is Cardiogenic shock? Last updated March 24, 2022. Available at: [Cardiogenic Shock - What is Cardiogenic Shock? | NHLBI, NIH](#). Accessed on March 30, 2023.
4. National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute. What is total artificial heart? Last updated March 24, 2022. Available at: [Total Artificial Heart - What Is Total Artificial Heart? | NHLBI, NIH](#). Accessed on March 30, 2023.

Index

AbioCor
 Biventricular Assist Device
 Bridge to Heart Transplant

This Medical Policy provides assistance in understanding Healthy Blue’s standard Medicaid benefit plan. When evaluating coverage for a specific member benefit, reference to federal and state law, as well as contractual requirements may be necessary, since these may differ from our standard benefit plan. In the event of a conflict with standard plan benefits, federal, state and/or contractual requirements will govern. Before using this policy, please check all federal, state and/or contractual requirements applicable to the specific benefit plan coverage. Healthy Blue reserves the right to modify its Policies and Guidelines as necessary and in accordance with legal and contractual requirements. This Medical Policy is provided for informational purposes. It does not constitute medical advice. Healthy Blue may also use tools and criteria developed by third parties, to assist us in administering health benefits. Healthy Blue’s Policies and Guidelines are intended to be used in accordance with the independent professional medical judgment of a qualified health care provider and do not constitute the practice of medicine or medical advice.

Mechanical Circulatory Assist Devices (Ventricular Assist Devices, Percutaneous Ventricular Assist Devices and Artificial Hearts)

- Cardiac Assist Devices
- CentriMag RVAS
- EXCOR
- Freedom driver system
- HeartAssist 5 Pediatric Ventricular Assist Device (formerly called the DeBakey VAD)
- HeartMate II Implantable Pneumatic Left Ventricular Assist System
- HeartMate PHP
- HeartMate 3 Left Ventricular Assist System
- HeartWare HVAD
- Impella CP Heart Pump
- Impella Recover LP 2.5
- Impella Recover LP 5.0
- Impella Recover LP 5.5
- Impella RP System
- LVAD
- LVAS
- Left Ventricular Assist System
- pVAD
- RVAD
- Right Ventricular Assist Device
- SynCardia temporary Total Artificial Heart (formerly called the CardioWest Total Artificial Heart)
- TandemHeart System
- Thoratec

The use of specific product names is illustrative only. It is not intended to be a recommendation of one product over another, and is not intended to represent a complete listing of all products available.

Document History

Status	Date	Action
Reviewed	05/11/2023	Medical Policy & Technology Assessment (MPTAC) review. Updated Description/Scope, Rationale, Background/Overview, References and Websites sections.
Revised	05/12/2022	MPTAC review. Moved NMN contraindication information to background section of document with relevant device. Converted INV/NMN statements to NMN statements. Removed INV/NMN statements for use of non-FDA approved or cleared devices. Updated Rationale, Background, Coding, References and Websites sections.
	04/08/2022	Clarified Background section information regarding the HeartWare HVAD system.
	11/22/2021	Updated Background and Reference sections with information regarding the HeartWare HVAD device.

This Medical Policy provides assistance in understanding Healthy Blue’s standard Medicaid benefit plan. When evaluating coverage for a specific member benefit, reference to federal and state law, as well as contractual requirements may be necessary, since these may differ from our standard benefit plan. In the event of a conflict with standard plan benefits, federal, state and/or contractual requirements will govern. Before using this policy, please check all federal, state and/or contractual requirements applicable to the specific benefit plan coverage. Healthy Blue reserves the right to modify its Policies and Guidelines as necessary and in accordance with legal and contractual requirements. This Medical Policy is provided for informational purposes. It does not constitute medical advice. Healthy Blue may also use tools and criteria developed by third parties, to assist us in administering health benefits. Healthy Blue’s Policies and Guidelines are intended to be used in accordance with the independent professional medical judgment of a qualified health care provider and do not constitute the practice of medicine or medical advice.

Mechanical Circulatory Assist Devices (Ventricular Assist Devices, Percutaneous Ventricular Assist Devices and Artificial Hearts)

Revised	05/13/2021	MPTAC review. Clarified MN statement for pediatric VAD using Berlin Heart EXCOR Pediatric Ventricular Assist Device to include (severe isolated left ventricular or biventricular dysfunction). Clarified NMN contraindications for pediatric VADs. Updated Background, References and Websites sections. Updated Coding section with 10/01/2021 ICD-10-PCS changes; added 02RA0LZ, 02RA0MZ.
Revised	02/11/2021	MPTAC review. Revised MN LVAD destination therapy criteria in adults. Revised MN statement for pediatric LVAD using HeartMate 3 to include criteria for destination therapy. Updated Rationale, Background, References and Websites sections.
Revised	11/05/2020	MPTAC review. Removed examples of optimal medical management from LVAD destination therapy MN statement and moved to Rationale section. Updated Rationale, Background, References and Websites sections. Updated Coding section with 01/01/2021 CPT descriptor changes and added 33995 effective 01/01/2021.
Revised	11/07/2019	MPTAC review. Added MN statement for use of FDA approved pVAD used in accordance with FDA approval for treatment of cardiogenic shock when criteria met. Added “Note” referring to background section of the document for list of FDA approved pVADs. Revised I/NMN statement for pVAD, removing list of devices. Updated Description, Rationale, Background, References, Websites and Index sections. Updated Coding section, including removal of CPT 33992.
Reviewed	08/22/2019	MPTAC review. Updated Description, Rationale, Background, References and Websites sections.
Reviewed	11/08/2018	MPTAC review. Updated Rationale, Background, References and Websites sections.
Revised	07/26/2018	MPTAC review. Added Impella CP Heart Pump to list of examples of pVADs considered I/NMN. Updated Description, Background, Index, References and Websites sections.
Reviewed	03/22/2018	MPTAC review. Updated Rationale, Background, References and Websites sections.
Revised	01/25/2018	MPTAC review. Clarified MN statement for VADs “appropriate for pediatrics” when used in accordance with FDA approval and added reference to HeartMate 3 LVAS (FDA approved without a specific age requirement) when BSA criteria met. Updated Background, References, and Websites sections.
Revised	11/02/2017	MPTAC review. The document header wording updated from “Current Effective Date” to “Publish Date.” Clarified MN statement “FDA VADs used in accordance with FDA approval, when criteria met. Added “Note” referring to background section of the document for list of FDA approved VADs. Added Impella RP to list of examples of pVADs considered I/NMN. Updated Rationale, Background, Index, References and Websites sections. Updated

This Medical Policy provides assistance in understanding Healthy Blue’s standard Medicaid benefit plan. When evaluating coverage for a specific member benefit, reference to federal and state law, as well as contractual requirements may be necessary, since these may differ from our standard benefit plan. In the event of a conflict with standard plan benefits, federal, state and/or contractual requirements will govern. Before using this policy, please check all federal, state and/or contractual requirements applicable to the specific benefit plan coverage. Healthy Blue reserves the right to modify its Policies and Guidelines as necessary and in accordance with legal and contractual requirements. This Medical Policy is provided for informational purposes. It does not constitute medical advice. Healthy Blue may also use tools and criteria developed by third parties, to assist us in administering health benefits. Healthy Blue’s Policies and Guidelines are intended to be used in accordance with the independent professional medical judgment of a qualified health care provider and do not constitute the practice of medicine or medical advice.

Mechanical Circulatory Assist Devices (Ventricular Assist Devices, Percutaneous Ventricular Assist Devices and Artificial Hearts)

		Coding section with 01/01/2018 CPT changes; removed 0051T, 0052T, 0053T deleted 12/31/2017, added 33927, 33928, 33929 effective 01/01/2018.
Reviewed	08/03/2017	MPTAC review. Updated References and Websites sections. Updated Coding section to include 10/01/2017 ICD-10-PCS changes.
Reviewed	11/03/2016	MPTAC review. Updated formatting in position statement section. Document re-categorized to SURG.00145. Updated Description, Rationale, References and Index sections.
Revised	11/05/2015	MPTAC review. Clarified pVAD investigational and not medically statement to include HeartMate PHP in example of devices used. Revised medically necessary, not medically necessary and investigational and not medically necessary statements for CardioWest Total Artificial Heart (TAH-t) to reflect new name SynCardia temporary Total Artificial Heart (TAH-t). Updated Description, Rationale, Background, Definitions, Index, References and Websites sections. Removed ICD-9 codes from Coding section.
Revised	08/06/2015	MPTAC review. Defined abbreviations in medically necessary and investigational and not medically necessary statements Reformatted artificial heart systems medically necessary criteria. Updated Description, Rationale, Background, References and Websites sections.
Revised	08/14/2014	MPTAC review. Clarified medically necessary statement to define U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA). Updated Description, Rationale, References and Websites.
Reviewed	08/08/2013	MPTAC review. Updated Rationale and Reference section.
Reviewed	02/14/2013	MPTAC review. Rationale and Websites Updated.
	01/01/2013	Updated Coding section with 01/01/2013 CPT changes; removed 0048T, 0050T deleted 12/31/2012.
Revised	02/16/2012	MPTAC review. Formatting change.
Revised	01/18/2012	MPTAC review. Revised pediatric ventricular assist device medically necessary statement addressing adjusted age requirement and investigational and medically necessary contraindication. Updated Background.
Revised	08/18/2011	MPTAC review. Title change. Added investigational and not medically necessary position statement for percutaneous ventricular assist devices. Updated Rationale and Background. Added definition. Updated Coding, Index, References and Websites.
Reviewed	05/19/2011	MPTAC review. Updated Background, Definitions, Index, References and Websites.
Revised	05/13/2010	MPTAC review. Additional criteria for coverage added to the ventricular assist devices (VAD) bridge to heart transplant medically necessary position statement. Clarified medically necessary position statement. Background, coding and references updated.
	01/01/2010	Updated Coding section with 01/01/2010 CPT changes.
Reviewed	08/27/2009	MPTAC review. Rationale and references updated.
	01/01/2009	Updated Coding section with 01/01/2009 CPT changes; removed CPT 0049T deleted 12/31/2008.

This Medical Policy provides assistance in understanding Healthy Blue’s standard Medicaid benefit plan. When evaluating coverage for a specific member benefit, reference to federal and state law, as well as contractual requirements may be necessary, since these may differ from our standard benefit plan. In the event of a conflict with standard plan benefits, federal, state and/or contractual requirements will govern. Before using this policy, please check all federal, state and/or contractual requirements applicable to the specific benefit plan coverage. Healthy Blue reserves the right to modify its Policies and Guidelines as necessary and in accordance with legal and contractual requirements. This Medical Policy is provided for informational purposes. It does not constitute medical advice. Healthy Blue may also use tools and criteria developed by third parties, to assist us in administering health benefits. Healthy Blue’s Policies and Guidelines are intended to be used in accordance with the independent professional medical judgment of a qualified health care provider and do not constitute the practice of medicine or medical advice.

Mechanical Circulatory Assist Devices (Ventricular Assist Devices, Percutaneous Ventricular Assist Devices and Artificial Hearts)

Reviewed	08/28/2008	MPTAC review. References updated. Updated coding with 10/01/2008 ICD-9 changes.
Reviewed	11/29/2007	MPTAC review. The phrase “investigational/not medically necessary” was clarified to read “investigational and not medically necessary.” No change to criteria. References were updated.
Revised	12/07/2006	MPTAC review. A statement was added to address the AbioCor Implantable Replacement Heart System as investigational/not medically necessary. References and coding sections were also updated.
Reviewed	03/23/2006 11/17/2005	MPTAC review. No changes to criteria. References and coding were updated. Added reference for Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS) - National Coverage Determination (NCD).
Revised	04/28/2005	MPTAC review. Revision based on Pre-merger Anthem and Pre-merger WellPoint Harmonization

Pre-Merger Organizations	Last Review Date	Document Number	Title
Anthem, Inc.	07/27/2004	TRANS.00014	Ventricular Assist Devices
WellPoint Health Networks, Inc.	12/02/2004	3.04.27	Total Artificial Heart as a Bridge to Transplantation
	12/02/2004	3.04.23	Ventricular Assist Devices

This Medical Policy provides assistance in understanding Healthy Blue’s standard Medicaid benefit plan. When evaluating coverage for a specific member benefit, reference to federal and state law, as well as contractual requirements may be necessary, since these may differ from our standard benefit plan. In the event of a conflict with standard plan benefits, federal, state and/or contractual requirements will govern. Before using this policy, please check all federal, state and/or contractual requirements applicable to the specific benefit plan coverage. Healthy Blue reserves the right to modify its Policies and Guidelines as necessary and in accordance with legal and contractual requirements. This Medical Policy is provided for informational purposes. It does not constitute medical advice. Healthy Blue may also use tools and criteria developed by third parties, to assist us in administering health benefits. Healthy Blue’s Policies and Guidelines are intended to be used in accordance with the independent professional medical judgment of a qualified health care provider and do not constitute the practice of medicine or medical advice.