

Medical Policy

Subject:	Implantation of Occipital, Supraorbital or Trigeminal Nerve Stimulation Dev		
	Related Procedures)		
Document#:	SURG.00112	Publish Date:	10/01/2020
Status:	Revised	Last Review Date:	08/13/2020

Description/Scope

This document addresses the implantation of, and procedures related to, occipital, supraorbital and trigeminal nerve stimulation devices.

The implantation of these nerve stimulation devices involves the surgical implantation of a permanent device to deliver small electrical impulses to the occipital, supraorbital or trigeminal nerves.

Occipital and supraorbital nerve stimulation have been proposed for pain management associated with occipital neuralgia, chronic headaches and migraines refractory to medical treatments. Trigeminal nerve stimulation is being explored as a treatment for trigeminal neuralgia.

For information on transcutaneous supraorbital nerve stimulation for migraine headaches (that is, the Cefaly device), refer to DME.00011 Electrical Stimulation as a Treatment for Pain and Other Conditions: Surface and Percutaneous Devices.

Position Statement

Investigational and Not Medically Necessary:

Implantation of an occipital nerve stimulation device (and related procedures) is considered **investigational and not medically necessary** for all indications.

Implantation of a supraorbital nerve stimulation device (and related procedures) is considered **investigational and not medically necessary** for all indications.

Implantation of a trigeminal nerve stimulation device (and related procedures) is considered **investigational and not medically necessary** for all indications.

Rationale

Occipital Nerve Stimulation (ONS)

This Medical Policy provides assistance in understanding Healthy Blue's standard Medicaid benefit plan. When evaluating coverage for a specific member benefit, reference to federal and state law, as well as contractual requirements may be necessary, since these may differ from our standard benefit plan. In the event of a conflict with standard plan benefits, federal, state and/or contractual requirements will govern. Before using this policy, please check all federal, state and/or contractual requirements will govern. Before using this policy, please check all federal, state and/or contractual requirements. Before using this policy, please check all federal, state and/or contractual requirements. This Medical Policy is provided for informational purposes. It does not constitute medical advice. Healthy Blue may also use tools and criteria developed by third parties, to assist us in administering health benefits. Healthy Blue's Policies and Guidelines are intended to be used in accordance with the independent professional medical judgment of a qualified health care provider and do not constitute the practice of medicine or medical advice.

ONS is accomplished via a modified device related to a spinal cord stimulator and is one type of implantable pulse generator (IPG). ONS is used to stimulate the occipital nerve, which is responsible, in part, for carrying signals from cranial pain conditions such as migraines and chronic headaches. Treatment for occipital pain commonly includes the use of medications or anesthetic blocks. While this type of treatment may work in some instances, pain relief may be short lived or not relieved in some individuals. For severe cases of cranial pain conditions, direct treatment of the occipital nerve has been studied.

Rodrigo (2017) reported on the long-term efficacy and tolerability of ONS for medically intractable chronic migraine. A total of 37 individuals were enrolled into the study but 1 individual selected for ONS did not demonstrate a good response during the first phase of the study; thus, he did not undergo the permanent implantation. Study participants were evaluated annually using different scales: pain Visual Analogue Scale (VAS), number of migraine attacks per month, sleep quality, functionality in social and work activities, reduction in pain medication, patient satisfaction, tolerability, and reasons for termination. The average follow-up time was 9.4 ± 6.1 years. A total of 31 of the 37 participants completed the 7-year follow-up period. The authors reported that significant pain reduction was obtained in most participants, and the VAS decreased by 3.8 ± 2.5 points. These results remained stable during the entire follow-up period. The authors also reported that the number of migraine days per month diminished from 17.9 ± 0.1 at baseline to 7.3 ± 7.2 at the last visit. While the ONS treatment was generally well tolerated, 2 subjects complained of painful stimulation, which was solved by reprogramming. There were 4 cases of lead externalization and 3 cases of infection which all occurred in the generator pocket. A total of 7 of the 35 permanently implanted devices were definitively removed; 2 were removed due to treatment inefficacy and 5 were explanted because the subjects were no longer symptomatic and considered to be cured from their pain, even with the stimulation off. During the course of the study, two implanted generators reached their end-of-life and required replacement. The authors acknowledged that some of the shortcomings of this study include its uncontrolled, open-label design as well as the fact that not all of the participants completed the 7-year follow-up period.

Miller and colleagues (2016) conducted an uncontrolled, open-label, prospective study exploring the long-term efficacy, functional outcome and safety of ONS in 53 individuals suffering with intractable chronic migraine (CM). A total of 53 subjects receiving care between 2007 and 2013 at a single institution were implanted with an occipital nerve stimulator. The participants ranged from 26-70 years of age and had suffered with CM for approximately 12 years and had failed a mean of 9 (range 4-19) treatments prior to implantation. Of the 53 participants, 18 had CM in addition to other chronic headache phenotypes. After a median follow-up of 42 months (range 6-97), the monthly moderate-to-severe headache days (that is those days on which pain was more than 4 on the verbal rating score and lasted at least 4 hours) was reduced by 8.5 days (p<0.001) in the whole cohort, 5.8 days (p<0.01) in those with CM alone and 12.2 days (p<0.001) in those with various headache types including CM. Response rate of the study group, defined as a > 30 % reduction in monthly moderate-to-severe headache days, was observed in 45.3 % of the whole cohort, 34.3 % of those with CM alone and 66.7 % in those with various phenotypes including CM headache types. Significant reductions were also reported in outcome measures such as pain intensity, all monthly headache days, and pain duration. Reported adverse events included one case of infection but no episodes of lead migration.

This Medical Policy provides assistance in understanding Healthy Blue's standard Medicaid benefit plan. When evaluating coverage for a specific member benefit, reference to federal and state law, as well as contractual requirements may be necessary, since these may differ from our standard benefit plan. In the event of a conflict with standard plan benefits, federal, state and/or contractual requirements will govern. Before using this policy, please check all federal, state and/or contractual requirements will govern. Before using this policy, please check all federal, state and/or contractual requirements will govern. Before using this policy, please check all federal, state and/or contractual requirements. This Medical Policy is provided for informational purposes. It does not constitute medical advice. Healthy Blue may also use tools and criteria developed by third parties, to assist us in administering health benefits. Healthy Blue's Policies and Guidelines are intended to be used in accordance with the independent professional medical judgment of a qualified health care provider and do not constitute the practice of medicine or medical advice.

No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system or transmitted, in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, or otherwise, without permission from the health plan.

Dodick and colleagues (2015) reported the 52-week results of their short-term efficacy and safety study of peripheral nerve stimulation (PNS) of the occipital nerves for managing intractable CM. In this multicenter, doubleblinded study, 157 participants were initially implanted with a neurostimulation system, randomized 2:1 to an active treatment or sham treatment control group for 12 weeks. After the initial 12-week study period, there was no difference in the percentage of subjects with a 50% reduction in their visual analog score for pain, although pain intensity, headache days and migraine-related disability improved. Participants subsequently received open-label treatment for an additional 40 weeks. A total of 46 (29%) individuals were excluded from the intent-to-treat analysis and 36 (29%) from the intractable CM group, due to loss to follow-up or explantation of the system. At 52 weeks, mean headache days at baseline were 21.6 for the intention-to-treat (ITT) population and 24.2 for a subset of 125 subjects with intractable CM. In the ITT population, headache days decreased by 6.7 days, and by 7.7 (\pm 8.7) days in the intractable CM population. The percentages of participants who experienced a 30% and 50% reduction in headache days and/or pain intensity were 59.5% and 47.8% respectively. Excellent or good headache relief was reported by 65.4% of the ITT group and 67.9% of the intractable CM group. A total of 68% of the participants were satisfied with the headache relief provided by the neurostimulation system. More than half the subjects in both cohorts were satisfied with the headache relief provided by the device. A total of 183 procedure/device-related adverse events transpired during the study, of which 85 (40.7%) required surgical intervention and 18 (8.6%) required hospitalization; 70% of the participants experienced an adverse event. Some of the participants (18%) experienced persistent pain and/or numbness with the device. The authors concluded that additional research which focuses on the mitigation of adverse events is needed.

Chen and colleagues (2015) conducted a systematic review examining the effectiveness and adverse effects of ONS for CM. A total of five RCTs (total n=402) and seven case series (total n=115) were included in the systematic review. Pooled results from three multicenter RCTs demonstrated that, at 3 months, ONS was correlated with a mean reduction of 2.59 days (95% confidence interval [CI], 0.91 to 4.27, $I^2=0\%$) of prolonged, moderate to severe headache per month compared with a sham control. The authors concluded that though multiple RCTs have demonstrated that ONS is somewhat effective when compared to sham control, the average effect size is modest and may be exaggerated by bias. The authors acknowledge that further measures to reduce the risk of adverse events and revision surgery are needed.

Serra and Marchioretto (2012) conducted a randomized crossover study to investigate the safety and efficacy of ONS for CM and medication overuse headache (MOH) in individuals and to evaluate changes in disability, quality of life, and drug intake in implanted subjects. The study included 30 participants with chronic migraine (100% of participants) and medication overuse headache (85% of participants) who were implanted with an occipital nerve stimulator (ONS) and randomized to one of two arms: (1) "Stimulation On" or (2) "Stimulation Off". The participants crossed over after 1 month, or when their headaches worsened. The participants were provided with remote controls to modify the stimulation amplitude. Follow-up examinations were conducted at 1, 3, 6, and 12 months after stimulator implantation, during which time the stimulation parameters were adjusted. During this 1-year follow-up period, degree of disability (using the Migraine Disability Assessment [MIDAS]), quality of life (using SF-36) and drug intake (using a participant's diary) were assessed. A total of 29 participants completed the study. The intensity and/or frequency of headache were significantly lower in the "On" arm of the study compared to the "Off" arm and decreased from baseline to each follow-up visit in all participants with stimulation on. The

This Medical Policy provides assistance in understanding Healthy Blue's standard Medicaid benefit plan. When evaluating coverage for a specific member benefit, reference to federal and state law, as well as contractual requirements may be necessary, since these may differ from our standard benefit plan. In the event of a conflict with standard plan benefits, federal, state and/or contractual requirements will govern. Before using this policy, please check all federal, state and/or contractual requirements. Before using this policy, please check all federal, state and/or contractual requirements. This Medical Policy is provided for informational purposes. It does not constitute medical advice. Healthy Blue may also use tools and criteria developed by third parties, to assist us in administering health benefits. Healthy Blue's Policies and Guidelines are intended to be used in accordance with the independent professional medical judgment of a qualified health care provider and do not constitute the practice of medicine or medical advice.

degree of disability improved during the study as reflected by the median MIDAS score decreasing from 79 at baseline to 10 at 12-month follow-up. With regard to medication usage, triptans and nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drug use decreased from the baseline (20 and 25.5 doses/month) at each follow-up visit, to 3 and 2 doses/month at 1 year, P<0.001). A total of five adverse events occurred: two infections (6.7%) and three lead migrations (10%). The authors concluded that ONS appears to be a safe and effective treatment for carefully selected individuals with CM and MOH. The small number of participants is one of the limitations of this study.

Burns and colleagues (2008) studied 14 individuals with medically intractable chronic cluster headaches (CCH). Participants were implanted with bilateral electrodes in the suboccipital region for ONS. Twelve individuals used the stimulation continuously while 2 used it intermittently. A retrospective assessment of their clinical outcome was obtained. At a median follow-up of 17.5 months (range 4-35 months), 10 of 14 participants reported improvement and 9 of these recommend ONS. Three participants noticed a marked improvement of 90% or better (90%, 90%, and 95%), 3 subjects reported a moderate improvement of 40% or better (40%, 50%, and 60%), and 4 subjects reported a mild improvement of 20-30% (20%, 20%, 25%, and 30%). Improvement occurred within days to weeks for those who responded most and participants consistently reported their attacks returned within hours to days when the device was off. One participant found that ONS helped abort acute attacks. Adverse events of concern were lead migrations and battery depletion. The authors concluded that ONS offers a safe, effective option for some individuals with CCH, however more research is required to evaluate safety and efficacy of this therapy.

Schwedt and colleagues (2007) performed a retrospective analysis of 15 individuals with medically refractory headache treated with occipital nerve stimulation. Pre- and post-implant data regarding headache frequency, severity, disability, depression and post stimulator complications were collected. Twelve individuals were female and 3 male. Ages ranged from 21 to 52 years (mean 39 years). Eight individuals had chronic migraine, 3 chronic cluster, 2 hemicrania continua and 2 had post-traumatic headache. Eight individuals underwent bilateral and 7 had unilateral lead placement. Individuals were measured after 5-42 months (mean 19). All six mean headache measures improved significantly from baseline (p<0.03). Headache frequency per 90 days improved by 25 days from a baseline of 89 days; headache severity (0-10) improved 2.4 points from a baseline of 7.1 points; migraine disability assessment (MIDAS) improved 70 points from a baseline of 179 points; Headache Impact Test[™] HIT-6 scores improved 11 points from a baseline of 71 points; Beck Depression Inventory (BDI-II) improved 8 points from a baseline of 20 points; and the mean subjective percent change in pain was 52%. Most individuals (60%) required lead revision within 1 year. One individual required generator revision. Occipital nerve stimulation may be effective in some individuals with intractable headache. The authors determined that further well-designed clinical studies are necessary for individuals with medically refractory headache.

In 2015, the Congress of Neurological Surgeons (CNS) published the results of a systematic review and evidencebased guideline evaluating the use of occipital nerve stimulation for the treatment of individuals with medically refractory occipital neuralgia. Based on a review of the evidence, the CNS supports the use of ONS as a treatment option for individuals with medically refractory occipital neuralgia. The authors assigned a Level III grade to this recommendation, indicating that the recommendation is based on evidence from case series, case reports, comparative studies with historical controls, and expert opinion, as well as significantly flawed randomized, controlled trials (Sweet, 2015).

This Medical Policy provides assistance in understanding Healthy Blue's standard Medicaid benefit plan. When evaluating coverage for a specific member benefit, reference to federal and state law, as well as contractual requirements may be necessary, since these may differ from our standard benefit plan. In the event of a conflict with standard plan benefits, federal, state and/or contractual requirements will govern. Before using this policy, please check all federal, state and/or contractual requirements. Before using this policy, please check all federal, state and/or contractual requirements. This Medical Policy is provided for informational purposes. It does not constitute medical advice. Healthy Blue may also use tools and criteria developed by third parties, to assist us in administering health benefits. Healthy Blue's Policies and Guidelines are intended to be used in accordance with the independent professional medical judgment of a qualified health care provider and do not constitute the practice of medicine or medical advice.

Supraorbital Nerve Stimulation

Researchers have also been exploring the use of supraorbital nerve stimulation as a treatment for CM. Supraorbital involves the neurostimulation of both occipital and supraorbital nerves. Clark and colleagues (2016) evaluated long-term pain reduction in 16 participants (12 female; 4 male) who received dual supraorbital and occipital nerve stimulation as a treatment for CM. Participants were assessed with MIDAS and BDI both preoperatively and postoperatively. Selected predictor variables included subjects with \geq 50% improvement of pain, disability status, number of years from diagnosis to implantation, and the use of narcotics. Functional outcome variables included net improvement of ranked BDI and MIDAS scores. Multivariate analysis of variance was performed to determine the correlation between the outcome and predictor variables. Follow-up ranged from 5 to 80 months (average 44.5 months). At most recent follow-up, 8 subjects had a positive response (\geq 50% improvement in headache), which was the only predictor of functional outcome (total MIDAS, MIDAS-B, and BDI) (p=0.021). Of note, improvement in functional outcome was only significant during the 3-6 month perioperative period and not throughout long-term follow-up, when most follow up MIDAS and BDI scores were elevated. The authors concluded that while the individuals in their small, observational study, with a positive response to supraorbital nerve stimulation and ONS reported overall improvement in their functional status as reflected by MIDAS and BDI in the perioperative period, this effect waned over the long-term follow-up.

In 2015, Reed and colleagues reported on the results of a small case series which explored the concordant use of occipital and supraorbital neurostimulation therapy for hemiplegic migraine. A total of 4 participants with hemiplegic migraine were treated with concordant combined supraorbital and occipital neurostimulation over periods ranging from 6-92 months. The researchers assessed headache frequency and severity, frequency of hemiplegic episodes, medication usage, functional impairment, and patient satisfaction. The participants reported the average headache frequency decreased by 92% (from 30 to 2.5 headache days/month). The Visual Analog Score decreased by 44% (from 9.5 to 5.3). The frequency of hemiplegic episodes diminished by 96% (from 7.5 to 0.25 hemiplegic episodes/month). The headache medication usage decreased by 96% (from 6 to 0.25 daily medications) and the Migraine Disability Assessment score was reduced by 98% (from 249 to 6). All of the participants reported preferring combined occipital-supraorbital neurostimulation to occipital neurostimulation alone. The authors concluded that concordant combined occipital and supraorbital neurostimulation may provide effective relief for both the pain and motor aura in some individuals with hemiplegic migraine.

Reed and colleagues (2010) investigated the use of combined occipital and supraorbital neurostimulation in 7 subjects with CM. The researchers evaluated responses to two stimulation programs: one that stimulated the occipital leads only and one that stimulated both the occipital and supraorbital leads together. Based on a follow-up period ranging from 1 to 35 months, all of the participants reported a full therapeutic response but only to combined supraorbital-occipital neurostimulation.

While small, preliminary studies investigating the use of supraorbital neurostimulation as a treatment for CM may show some improvement in pain management, additional well-designed studies with larger populations and longer follow-up periods are needed before conclusions regarding the safety and efficacy of this technique can be made.

This Medical Policy provides assistance in understanding Healthy Blue's standard Medicaid benefit plan. When evaluating coverage for a specific member benefit, reference to federal and state law, as well as contractual requirements may be necessary, since these may differ from our standard benefit plan. In the event of a conflict with standard plan benefits, federal, state and/or contractual requirements will govern. Before using this policy, please check all federal, state and/or contractual requirements will govern. Before using this policy, please check all federal, state and/or contractual requirements. This Medical Policy is provided for informational purposes. It does not constitute medical advice. Healthy Blue may also use tools and criteria developed by third parties, to assist us in administering health benefits. Healthy Blue's Policies and Guidelines are intended to be used in accordance with the independent professional medical judgment of a qualified health care provider and do not constitute the practice of medicine or medical advice.

At this time, no permanently implantable device has been approved or cleared by the U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) for ONS. Clinical trials evaluating the safety and efficacy of ONS for migraine headaches have been conducted for at least two ONS devices: ONSTIM[®] (Medtronic Neuro) and PRISM[®] (Boston Scientific Corporation).

Trigeminal Nerve Stimulation for Trigeminal Neuralgia

Trigeminal neuralgia (TN) is a chronic pain condition that affects the trigeminal (5th cranial) nerve, one of the most widely distributed nerves in the head. Treatment options include pharmacologic therapy, surgical interventions (including but not limited to rhizotomy), and complementary approaches such as acupuncture, yoga and biofeedback. Trigeminal nerve stimulation has been explored as a treatment for trigeminal neuralgia. Similar to occipital nerve stimulation and supraorbital nerve stimulation, trigeminal nerve stimulation involves the implantation of a pulse generator and electrodes to deliver mild electrical signals to branches of the trigeminal nerve in order to provide neuromodulation of pain. Although implantation of the electrodes is considered a minimally invasive procedure, it is not without risks. Postoperative complications may include nerve damage, pain, infection, electrode migration, mechanical failure (e.g. disconnection of hardware and failure to provide adequate pain relief) and cosmetic concerns.

William and colleagues (2016) reported the results of a retrospective study evaluating the feasibility of trigeminal and spheno-palatine ganglion (SPG) stimulation for trigeminal neuropathic pain, anesthesia dolorosa, and persistent idiopathic facial pain. A total of 7 subjects received either trigeminal and/or SPG stimulation with or without peripheral nerve stimulation, having failed multiple alternative modalities of treatment. The treatments were tailored to the physical location of pain to safeguard regional coverage with the stimulation. Frameless stereotaxy or fluoroscopy was used to position the SPG and/or trigeminal ganglion stimulator. Trial leads implanted in the pterygopalatine fossa near the SPG were surgically placed using transpterygoid (lateral-medial, infra-zygomatic) approach. The percutaneous Hartel approach was used to implant trial leads in the trigeminal ganglion, all of which resulted in masseter contraction. All participants were initially trialed prior to implantation. Participants who developed clinically significant pain improvement during the trial underwent implantation. Of the 7 participants, 2 did not respond well to the trial and did not undergo implantation; of the 5 participants who underwent implantation, 4 (80%) reported sustained improvement of facial pain with a mean follow-up of 9.6 months (range, 1-24). The authors concluded that refractory neuropathic facial pain may respond positively to ganglionic forms of stimulation and that implantation of the electrodes using the pterygopalatine fossa via a lateral transpterygoid approach was both safe and effective. The authors also concluded that implantation of an electrode grid overlying Meckel's cave appeared to be a reasonable alternative to the Hartel approach but further investigation is needed to evaluate the usefulness of these approaches for various facial pain conditions. The authors acknowledged limitations of the study included its small size, retrospective design, the lack of additional pain scales and the possible impact of a surgical placebo effect.

Abd-Elsayed and colleagues (2015) reported the results of a study assessing the effectiveness of trigeminal nerve stimulation in a single individual with trigeminal neuralgia resistant to conventional pain management. Pain scores

This Medical Policy provides assistance in understanding Healthy Blue's standard Medicaid benefit plan. When evaluating coverage for a specific member benefit, reference to federal and state law, as well as contractual requirements may be necessary, since these may differ from our standard benefit plan. In the event of a conflict with standard plan benefits, federal, state and/or contractual requirements will govern. Before using this policy, please check all federal, state and/or contractual requirements. Before using this policy, please check all federal, state and/or contractual requirements. This Medical Policy is provided for informational purposes. It does not constitute medical advice. Healthy Blue may also use tools and criteria developed by third parties, to assist us in administering health benefits. Healthy Blue's Policies and Guidelines are intended to be used in accordance with the independent professional medical judgment of a qualified health care provider and do not constitute the practice of medicine or medical advice.

were recorded prior to and following the procedure, and the subject reported complete resolution of her pain. The authors concluded that trigeminal nerve stimulation is both a safe and effective method to treat trigeminal neuralgia, but also conceded that additional research is needed to define its mechanism of action.

Lenchig and colleagues (2012) reported the results of a case study involving trigeminal nerve stimulation as a treatment of posttraumatic trigeminal neuropathic pain in an individual who had failed conservative management. The researchers recorded the participant's subjective assessment of pain and daily function prior to and subsequent to the procedure. Following the procedure, the subject's pain score decreased approximately 50% and the participant reported an improvement in daily function as well as a more positive outlook on her condition. No complications were reported after the procedure and the participant reported no complaints with the device.

In 2004, Johnson and colleagues reported the results of a retrospective case series of 10 individuals who underwent trigeminal nerve stimulation as a treatment of trigeminal neuropathic pain secondary to herpetic infection or facial trauma. The researchers determined long-term treatment results by completing a retrospective review of medical records (1998-2003) and by independent observers interviewing participants using a standard questionnaire. Degree of pain relief, surgical complication rate, preoperative symptom duration, preoperative and postoperative work status, postoperative changes in medication usage, and overall degree of therapy satisfaction were measured. Mean follow-up duration was 26.6 ± 4.7 months. The authors reported that trigeminal nerve stimulation imparted at least 50% pain relief in 70% of subjects with trigeminal neuropathic pain or postherpetic neuralgia. Medication usage decreased in 70% of participants, and 80% of participants indicated that they were mostly or completely satisfied with treatment overall. No treatment failures (< 50% pain relief and a lack of decrease in medication use) were reported in the posttraumatic group, and two failures (50%) occurred in the postherpetic group. The complication rate necessitating reoperation was 30%. The authors concluded that stimulation of the infraorbital or supraorbital branches of the trigeminal nerve is an effective method for relief of trigeminal neuropathic pain following facial trauma or herpetic infection. The authors also conceded that a prospective trial using this novel approach to treat these disorders is warranted.

A Cochrane review on "Neurosurgical Interventions for the Treatment of Classical Trigeminal Neuralgia" (Zakrzewska, 2011) noted that "there is very low quality evidence for the effectiveness of most neurosurgical procedures for trigeminal neuralgia because of the poor quality of the trials. All procedures produced variable pain relief, but many resulted in sensory side effects ... Well-designed studies are urgently needed". The review does not specifically address trigeminal nerve stimulation as a treatment of trigeminal neuralgia.

Several nerve stimulation devices to treat pain have received FDA 510(k) clearance; however, none of these devices is intended to treat pain in the craniofacial (trigeminal nerve) region.

Background/Overview

Peripheral (occipital and supraorbital) and cranial (trigeminal) nerve stimulation using an implantable device has been investigated as an approach to treat various chronic pain conditions. Regardless of the specific nerve targeted, the surgical procedure involves the surgical implantation of a small electrical device (a wire-like electrode)

This Medical Policy provides assistance in understanding Healthy Blue's standard Medicaid benefit plan. When evaluating coverage for a specific member benefit, reference to federal and state law, as well as contractual requirements may be necessary, since these may differ from our standard benefit plan. In the event of a conflict with standard plan benefits, federal, state and/or contractual requirements will govern. Before using this policy, please check all federal, state and/or contractual requirements applicable to the specific benefit plan coverage. Healthy Blue reserves the right to modify its Policies and Guidelines as necessary and in accordance with legal and contractual requirements. This Medical Policy is provided for informational purposes. It does not constitute medical advice. Healthy Blue may also use tools and criteria developed by third parties, to assist us in administering health benefits. Healthy Blue's Policies and Guidelines are intended to be used in accordance with the independent professional medical judgment of a qualified health care provider and do not constitute the practice of medicine or medical advice.

adjacent to the selected nerve(s). The electrode delivers rapid electrical pulses near the selected nerve. During the trial stimulation, the electrode is connected to an external device, and if the trial is successful, a small generator is implanted into the subject's body. An electrical current is delivered from the generator to the nerve or nerves using one or several electrodes. The subject is able to control the intensity of the stimulation by turning the device on and off and adjusting stimulation parameters as needed.

Use of an implantable nerve stimulation device may be referred to as occipital, supraorbital or trigeminal nerve stimulation, depending upon the nerves that are being targeted for stimulation.

The implantation of an occipital, supraorbital or trigeminal nerve stimulation device may cause some complications. Lead migration is the most frequent problem, requiring removal and replacement. Power depletion in the pulse generator also needs to be addressed. As with all surgery, the possibility of infection has to be considered.

Definitions

Afferent: A nerve that carries impulses toward the central nervous system (CNS). The opposite of an afferent nerve is an efferent nerve that carries impulses away from the CNS.

Cluster Headaches: Sudden, intensely painful headaches that occur repeatedly in groups or clusters.

Intractable: Having no relief, such as a symptom or a disease that is not relieved by the therapeutic measures.

Migraine: A vascular headache believed to be caused by blood flow changes and certain chemical changes in the brain leading to a cascade of events that include constriction of arteries supplying blood to the brain that result in severe head pain, stomach upset, and visual disturbances.

Nociceptive: The ability of specific portions of the nervous system to sense and transmit painful stimuli.

Peripheral nerves: Nerves that are located beyond the spinal cord or brain.

Coding

The following codes for treatments and procedures applicable to this document are included below for informational purposes. Inclusion or exclusion of a procedure, diagnosis or device code(s) does not constitute or imply member coverage or provider reimbursement policy. Please refer to the member's contract benefits in effect at the time of service to determine coverage or non-coverage of these services as it applies to an individual member.

When services are Investigational and Not Medically Necessary:

This Medical Policy provides assistance in understanding Healthy Blue's standard Medicaid benefit plan. When evaluating coverage for a specific member benefit, reference to federal and state law, as well as contractual requirements may be necessary, since these may differ from our standard benefit plan. In the event of a conflict with standard plan benefits, federal, state and/or contractual requirements will govern. Before using this policy, please check all federal, state and/or contractual requirements will govern. Before using this policy, please check all federal, state and/or contractual requirements will govern. Before using this policy, please check all federal, state and/or contractual requirements will govern. Before using this policy, please check all federal, state and/or contractual requirements. This Medical Policy is provided for informational purposes. It does not constitute medical advice. Healthy Blue may also use tools and criteria developed by third parties, to assist us in administering health benefits. Healthy Blue's Policies and Guidelines are intended to be used in accordance with the independent professional medical judgment of a qualified health care provider and do not constitute the practice of medicine or medical advice.

For the following codes when describing implantation of an occipital, supraorbital or trigeminal nerve stimulator implantable device, or when the code describes a procedure indicated in the Position Statement section as investigational and not medically necessary.

СРТ	
61885	Insertion or replacement of cranial neurostimulator pulse generator or receiver, direct or
	inductive coupling; with connection to a single electrode array
64553	Percutaneous implantation of neurostimulator electrode array; cranial nerve
64555	Percutaneous implantation of neurostimulator electrode array; peripheral nerve
	(excludes sacral nerve)
64568	Incision for implantation of cranial nerve (eg, vagus nerve) neurostimulator electrode
	array and pulse generator
64569	Revision or replacement of cranial nerve (eg, vagus nerve) neurostimulator electrode
	array, including connection to existing pulse generator
64575	Incision for implantation of neurostimulator electrode array; peripheral nerve (excludes
	sacral nerve)
64590	Insertion or replacement of peripheral or gastric neurostimulator pulse generator or
	receiver, direct or inductive coupling
HCPCS	
C1767	Generator, neurostimulator (implantable), nonrechargeable
C1778	Lead, neurostimulator (implantable)
L8679	Implantable neurostimulator, pulse generator, any type
L8680	Implantable neurostimulator electrode, each
L8685	Implantable neurostimulator pulse generator, single array, rechargeable, includes
	extension
L8686	Implantable neurostimulator pulse generator, single array, non-rechargeable, includes
	extension
ICD-10 Procedure	
	For the following codes when specified as an occipital, supraorbital or trigeminal nerve stimulator:
00HE0MZ	
00HE3MZ	Insertion of neurostimulator lead into cranial nerve, open approach
00HE4MZ	Insertion of neurostimulator lead into cranial nerve, percutaneous approach Insertion of neurostimulator lead into cranial nerve, percutaneous endoscopic approach
01HY0MZ	Insertion of neurostimulator lead into peripheral nerve, percutateous endoscopic approach
01HY3MZ	Insertion of neurostimulator lead into peripheral nerve, open approach
01HY4MZ	Insertion of neurostimulator lead into peripheral nerve, percutaneous approach Insertion of neurostimulator lead into peripheral nerve, percutaneous endoscopic
	approach
	approach
ICD-10 Diagnosis	
TO TO DIAGNOOD	

This Medical Policy provides assistance in understanding Healthy Blue's standard Medicaid benefit plan. When evaluating coverage for a specific member benefit, reference to federal and state law, as well as contractual requirements may be necessary, since these may differ from our standard benefit plan. In the event of a conflict with standard plan benefits, federal, state and/or contractual requirements will govern. Before using this policy, please check all federal, state and/or contractual requirements will govern. Before using this policy, please check all federal, state and/or contractual requirements will govern. Before using this policy, please check all federal, state and/or contractual requirements. This Medical Policy is provided for informational purposes. It does not constitute medical advice. Healthy Blue may also use tools and criteria developed by third parties, to assist us in administering health benefits. Healthy Blue's Policies and Guidelines are intended to be used in accordance with the independent professional medical judgment of a qualified health care provider and do not constitute the practice of medicine or medical advice.

Medical Policy

Implantation of Occipital, Supraorbital and Trigeminal Nerve Stimulation Devices (and Related Procedures)

	All diagnoses, including but not limited to, the following:	
G43.001-G43.919	Migraine	
G44.001-G44.89	Other headache syndromes	
G50.0-G50.9	Disorders of trigeminal nerve	
M54.81	Occipital neuralgia	
R51.0-R51.9	Headache	

References

Peer Reviewed Publications:

- 1. Abd-Elsayed AA, Grandhi R, Sachdeva H. Effective management of trigeminal neuralgia by neurostimulation. Ochsner J. 2015; 15(2):193-195.
- 2. Burns B, Watkins L, Goadsby P. Treatment of intractable chronic cluster headache by occipital nerve stimulation in 14 patients. Neurology. 2009; 72(4):341-345.
- 3. Burns B, Watkins L, Goadsby PJ. Treatment of medically intractable cluster headache by occipital nerve stimulation: long-term follow-up of eight patients. Lancet. 2007; 369(9567):1099-1106.
- 4. Chaiban G, Tolba R, Eissa H, et al. Successful treatment of occipital neuralgia with implantable peripheral nerve stimulation in a pacemaker-dependent patient. Ochsner J. 2014; 14(1):119-122.
- 5. Chen YF, Bramley G, Unwin G, et al. Occipital nerve stimulation for chronic migraine--a systematic review and meta-analysis. PLoS One. 2015; 10(3):e0116786.
- 6. Clark SW, Wu C, Boorman DW, et al. Long-term pain reduction does not imply improved functional outcome in patients treated with combined supraorbital and occipital nerve stimulation for chronic migraine. Neuromodulation. 2016; 19(5):507-514.
- 7. Dodick DW, Silberstein SD, Reed KL, et al. Safety and efficacy of peripheral nerve stimulation of the occipital nerves for the management of chronic migraine: long-term results from a randomized, multicenter, double-blinded, controlled study. Cephalalgia. 2015; 35(4):344-358.
- 8. Hann S, Sharan A. Dual occipital and supraorbital nerve stimulation for chronic migraine: a single-center experience, review of literature, and surgical considerations. Neurosurg Focus. 2013; 35(3):E9.
- 9. Johnson MD, Burchiel KJ. Peripheral stimulation for treatment of trigeminal postherpetic neuralgia and trigeminal posttraumatic neuropathic pain: a pilot study. Neurosurgery. 2004; 55(1):135-142.
- 10. Johnstone CSH. Occipital nerve stimulation for the treatment of occipital neuralgia eight case studies. Neuromodulation. 2006; 9(1):41-47.
- 11. Lenchig S, Cohen J, Patin D, A minimally invasive surgical technique for the treatment of posttraumatic trigeminal neuropathic pain with peripheral nerve stimulation. Pain Physician. 2012; 15(5):E725-E732.
- 12. Magis D, Allena M, Bolla M, et al. Occipital nerve stimulation for drug-resistant chronic cluster headache: a prospective pilot study. Lancet Neurology. 2007; 6(4):314-321.
- 13. Mercieri M, Negro A, Silvestri B, et al. 0065. Drug-resistant chronic cluster headache successfully treated with supraorbital plus occipital nerve stimulation. A rare case report. J Headache Pain. 2015; 16(Suppl 1):A97.
- 14. Miller S, Watkins L, Matharu M. Long-term outcomes of occipital nerve stimulation for chronic migraine: a cohort of 53 patients. J Headache Pain. 2016; 17(1):68.

This Medical Policy provides assistance in understanding Healthy Blue's standard Medicaid benefit plan. When evaluating coverage for a specific member benefit, reference to federal and state law, as well as contractual requirements may be necessary, since these may differ from our standard benefit plan. In the event of a conflict with standard plan benefits, federal, state and/or contractual requirements will govern. Before using this policy, please check all federal, state and/or contractual requirements will govern. Before using this policy, please check all federal, state and/or contractual requirements. This Medical Policy is provided for informational purposes. It does not constitute medical advice. Healthy Blue may also use tools and criteria developed by third parties, to assist us in administering health benefits. Healthy Blue's Policies and Guidelines are intended to be used in accordance with the independent professional medical judgment of a qualified health care provider and do not constitute the practice of medicine or medical advice.

- 15. Reed KL, Black SB, Banta CJ, 2nd, Will KR. Combined occipital and supraorbital neurostimulation for the treatment of chronic migraine headaches: initial experience. Cephalalgia. 2010; 30(3):260-271.
- 16. Reed KL, Will KR, Conidi F, Bulger R. Concordant occipital and supraorbital neurostimulation therapy for hemiplegic migraine: Initial experience: a case series. Neuromodulation, 2015: 18(4):297-303.
- 17. Rodrigo D, Acin P, Bermejo P. Occipital nerve stimulation for refractory chronic migraine: results of a longterm prospective study. Pain Physician. 2017; 20(1):E151-E159.
- 18. Schwedt T. Occipital nerve stimulation for medically intractable headache. Curr Pain Headache Rep. 2008; 12(1):62-66.
- 19. Schwedt TJ, Dodick DW, Hentz J, et al. Occipital nerve stimulation for chronic headache long-term safety and efficacy. Cephalalgia. 2007; 27(2):153-157.
- 20. Serra G; Marchioretto F. Occipital nerve stimulation for chronic migraine: a randomized trial. Pain Physician 2012; 15(3):245-253.
- 21. Slavin KV, Colpan ME, Munawar N. et. al. Trigeminal and occipital peripheral nerve stimulation for craniofacial pain: a single institution experience and review of the literature. Neurosurg Focus 2006; 21(6):E5.
- 22. Stidd DA, Wuollet AL, Bowden K, et al. Peripheral nerve stimulation for trigeminal neuropathic pain. Pain Physician. 2012; 15(1):27-33.
- 23. William A, Azad TD, Brecher E, et al. Trigeminal and sphenopalatine ganglion stimulation for intractable craniofacial pain--case series and literature review. Acta Neurochir (Wien). 2016; 158(3):513-520.
- 24. Young RF. Electrical stimulation of the trigeminal nerve root for the treatment of chronic facial pain. J Neurosurg. 1995; 83(1):72-78.

Government Agency, Medical Society, and Other Authoritative Publications:

Boston Scientific. A prospective, randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial of occipital nerve 1. stimulation for drug refractory migraine. NLM Identifier: NCT00747812. Last updated on August 3, 2012. Available at:

http://www.clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT00747812?term=occipital+nerve+stimulation+migraine&rank=3 Accessed on June 30, 2020.

- 2. Medtronic Neuro. ONSTIM: Occipital nerve stimulation for the treatment of intractable migraine. NLM Identifier: NCT00200109. Last updated on October 12, 2011. Available at: http://www.clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT00200109?term=occipital+nerve+stimulation+migraine&rank=1 Accessed on June 30, 2020.
- 3. Sweet JA, Mitchell LS, Narouze S, et al. Occipital nerve stimulation for the treatment of patients with medically refractory occipital neuralgia: Congress of Neurological Surgeons systematic review and evidencebased guideline. Neurosurgery 2015; 77(3):332-341.
- Zakrzewska JM, Akram H. Neurosurgical interventions for the treatment of classical trigeminal neuralgia. 4. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2011;(9):CD007312.

Index

Migraine Occipital nerve stimulation

This Medical Policy provides assistance in understanding Healthy Blue's standard Medicaid benefit plan. When evaluating coverage for a specific member benefit, reference to federal and state law, as well as contractual requirements may be necessary, since these may differ from our standard benefit plan. In the event of a conflict with standard plan benefits, federal, state and/or contractual requirements will govern. Before using this policy, please check all federal, state and/or contractual requirements applicable to the specific benefit plan coverage. Healthy Blue reserves the right to modify its Policies and Guidelines as necessary and in accordance with legal and contractual requirements. This Medical Policy is provided for informational purposes. It does not constitute medical advice. Healthy Blue may also use tools and criteria developed by third parties, to assist us in administering health benefits. Healthy Blue's Policies and Guidelines are intended to be used in accordance with the independent professional medical judgment of a qualified health care provider and do not constitute the practice of medicine or medical advice.

ONSTIM[®]

PRISM[®]Supraorbital nerve stimulation Trigeminal nerve stimulation

The use of specific product names is illustrative only. It is not intended to be a recommendation of one product over another, and is not intended to represent a complete listing of all products available.

Document History				
Status	Date	Action		
Revised	08/13/2020	Medical Policy & Technology Assessment Committee (MPTAC) review. Title		
		changed to "Implantation of Occipital, Supraorbital and Trigeminal Nerve		
		Stimulation Devices (and Related Procedures)". Added trigeminal nerve		
		stimulation and related procedures to the document. Reworded position		
		statements for occipital and supraorbital nerve stimulation to clarify document		
		addresses implanted occipital and supraorbital nerve stimulation devices.		
		Updated review date, Description/Scope, Rationale, Background/Overview,		
		Definitions, References, Index and History sections. Updated Coding section to		
		add codes 61885, 64568, 64569, C1767, C1778; also added 10/01/2020 ICD-		
		10-CM changes, R51.0-R51.9 replacing R51.		
Reviewed	11/07/2019	MPTAC review. Updated review date, References and History sections.		
Reviewed	01/24/2019	MPTAC review. Updated review date, References and History sections.		
Revised	01/25/2018	MPTAC review. The document header wording updated from "Current		
		Effective Date" to "Publish Date." Title changed to "Occipital Nerve and		
		Supraorbital Nerve Stimulation". Added new position statement which states		
		"Supraorbital nerve stimulation is considered investigational and not medically		
		necessary for all indications". Updated review date, Description/Scope,		
D 1	05/04/2017	Rationale, Coding, References and Index sections.		
Reviewed	05/04/2017	MPTAC review. Updated review date, Rationale and References sections.		
Reviewed	05/05/2016	MPTAC review. Updated review date, Rationale and References sections.		
Reviewed	05/07/2015	Removed ICD-9 codes from Coding section.		
Reviewed	05/07/2013	MPTAC review. Updated review date, Rationale and References sections.		
Reviewed	03/13/2014	MPTAC review. Updated review date, Rationale, Coding and References sections.		
Reviewed	05/09/2013	MPTAC review. Updated review date, Rationale and References sections.		
Reviewed	05/10/2012	MPTAC review. Updated review date, References and History sections.		
Reviewed	05/19/2011	MPTAC review. Updated review date, References and History sections.		
Reviewed	05/13/2010	MPTAC review. Updated review date, References and History sections.		
New	05/21/2009	MPTAC review. Initial document development.		
1,0,1	33,21,2007			

This Medical Policy provides assistance in understanding Healthy Blue's standard Medicaid benefit plan. When evaluating coverage for a specific member benefit, reference to federal and state law, as well as contractual requirements may be necessary, since these may differ from our standard benefit plan. In the event of a conflict with standard plan benefits, federal, state and/or contractual requirements will govern. Before using this policy, please check all federal, state and/or contractual requirements will govern. Before using this policy, please check all federal, state and/or contractual requirements will govern. Before using this policy, please check all federal, state and/or contractual requirements will govern. Before using this policy, please check all federal, state and/or contractual requirements. This Medical Policy is provided for informational purposes. It does not constitute medical advice. Healthy Blue may also use tools and criteria developed by third parties, to assist us in administering health benefits. Healthy Blue's Policies and Guidelines are intended to be used in accordance with the independent professional medical judgment of a qualified health care provider and do not constitute the practice of medicine or medical advice.