
Subject:	Technologies for the Evaluation of Skin Lesions (including Dermatoscopy, Epiluminescence Microscopy, Videomicroscopy and Ultrasonography)		
Document #:	MED.00004	Publish Date:	04/15/2020
Status:	Reviewed	Last Review Date:	02/20/2020

Description/Scope

This document addresses the use of photographic, optical, video, and other imaging technologies for the evaluation of skin lesions.

Cosmetic: In this document, procedures are considered cosmetic when intended to change a physical appearance that would be considered within normal human anatomic variation. Cosmetic services are often described as those which are primarily intended to preserve or improve appearance.

Position Statement

Not Medically Necessary:

Dermatoscopy (also known as dermoscopy, epiluminescence microscopy [ELM], or digital epiluminescence microscopy [DELM], skin surface microscopy, skin videomicroscopy, or incidence light microscopy) using either direct inspection, digitization of images, or computer-assisted analysis is considered **not medically necessary** in all cases.

Investigational and Not Medically Necessary:

Whole body integumentary photography, including melanomagram, is considered **investigational and not medically necessary** in all cases.

Ultrasonography for the evaluation of skin lesions is considered **investigational and not medically necessary**.

Cosmetic and Not Medically Necessary:

Ultrasonographic evaluation of photoaging, intrinsic aging and skin rejuvenation techniques is considered **cosmetic and not medically necessary**.

Rationale

While there is extensive literature regarding dermatoscopy, the literature is inconclusive regarding its clinical role in the management of pigmented skin lesions, for instance, as a technique to select or deselect lesions for excision. At this time, there is insufficient evidence to support the use of this technology to improve outcomes either by reducing the frequency of unnecessary biopsies or improving early detection of malignant melanoma.

This Medical Policy provides assistance in understanding Healthy Blue's standard Medicaid benefit plan. When evaluating coverage for a specific member benefit, reference to federal and state law, as well as contractual requirements may be necessary, since these may differ from our standard benefit plan. In the event of a conflict with standard plan benefits, federal, state and/or contractual requirements will govern. Before using this policy, please check all federal, state and/or contractual requirements applicable to the specific benefit plan coverage. Healthy Blue reserves the right to modify its Policies and Guidelines as necessary and in accordance with legal and contractual requirements. This Medical Policy is provided for informational purposes. It does not constitute medical advice. Healthy Blue may also use tools and criteria developed by third parties, to assist us in administering health benefits. Healthy Blue's Policies and Guidelines are intended to be used in accordance with the independent professional medical judgment of a qualified health care provider and do not constitute the practice of medicine or medical advice.

No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system or transmitted, in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, or otherwise, without permission from the health plan.

Technologies for the Evaluation of Skin Lesions (including Dermatoscopy, Epiluminescence Microscopy, Videomicroscopy and Ultrasonography)

The diagnostic performance of dermatoscopy combined with clinical assessment must be compared with clinical assessment alone and with the “gold standard,” histology. There are three clinical scenarios in which dermatoscopy might be of benefit: (1) Use of dermatoscopy to evaluate a lesion with low pretest possibility of malignancy to determine if excisional biopsy is necessary. In this scenario, the negative predictive value is the most relevant diagnostic parameter; (2) Use of dermatoscopy to evaluate multiple suspicious pigmented lesions to determine which of the multiple lesions are most clinically suspicious and in need of excision. In this scenario, the positive predictive value of dermatoscopy is the relevant diagnostic parameter; and (3) Serial assessment of lesions over time, as a means to prompt excision when a lesion changes in character in an individual with multiple pigmented lesions, or for lesions in a location difficult to excise. In this scenario, both the positive and negative predictive values of the results of serial imaging and clinical assessment are relevant.

In one study, sensitivity, specificity, and positive and negative predictive values were reported as 79.2%, 71.8%, 16.1%, and 98.1%, respectively (Argenziano, 2006). These results are in conflict with earlier reports of negative predictive value of 85% (Carli, 2003). Additionally, there is little data addressing the use of dermatoscopy in eliminating the need for biopsy and histologic examination of the lesion for the definitive diagnosis. While there have been randomized controlled trials of dermatoscopy, this technology is considered to be an adjunct in the work-up of equivocal melanocytic lesions. Biopsy and histologic examination are still required for the definitive diagnosis.

Cristofolini (1994) reported a case series of 220 pigmented skin lesions in which the sensitivity and specificity of dermatoscopy alone, clinical assessment alone or dermatoscopy combined with clinical assessment were compared with the histologic “gold standard.” The sensitivities of clinical assessment alone, dermatoscopy, and dermatoscopy combined with clinical assessment were 85%, 88%, and 95% respectively. The measured specificities for clinical assessment alone, dermatoscopy, and dermatoscopy combined with clinical assessment were 75%, 79%, and 72%. While this study showed a modest increase in sensitivity with the combined use of dermatoscopy and clinical assessment, it is unclear whether this improved sensitivity is statistically or clinically significant.

A study of digital dermoscopy by Wollina and colleagues reported on their findings in 1308 subjects with 3354 pigmented lesions (2007). The authors reported sensitivity between 90% and 95%, and specificity between 79.6% and 93.3%. This is an improvement upon previous reports involving non-digital dermatoscopic methods, but further investigation is needed to confirm these findings.

Moloney and colleagues (2014) conducted a study evaluating the impact of full-body examinations every 6 months supported by dermoscopy and total-body photography (TBP) on all subjects and sequential digital dermoscopy imaging (SDDI), when indicated, on detecting primary melanoma in an extreme-risk population. The study population consisted of 311 subjects who had a history of invasive melanoma and dysplastic nevus syndrome, or a history of invasive melanoma and at least three first- or second-degree relatives with prior melanoma, or a history of at least two primary invasive melanomas, or a known CDKN2A or CDK4 gene mutation. Out of the 311 subjects followed, 75 primary melanomas were detected, and of these 38% were detected using TBP and 39% with SDDI. The benign to malignant excision ratio was 1.6:1 for all lesions excised and 4.4:1 for melanocytic lesions.

This Medical Policy provides assistance in understanding Healthy Blue’s standard Medicaid benefit plan. When evaluating coverage for a specific member benefit, reference to federal and state law, as well as contractual requirements may be necessary, since these may differ from our standard benefit plan. In the event of a conflict with standard plan benefits, federal, state and/or contractual requirements will govern. Before using this policy, please check all federal, state and/or contractual requirements applicable to the specific benefit plan coverage. Healthy Blue reserves the right to modify its Policies and Guidelines as necessary and in accordance with legal and contractual requirements. This Medical Policy is provided for informational purposes. It does not constitute medical advice. Healthy Blue may also use tools and criteria developed by third parties, to assist us in administering health benefits. Healthy Blue’s Policies and Guidelines are intended to be used in accordance with the independent professional medical judgment of a qualified health care provider and do not constitute the practice of medicine or medical advice.

No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system or transmitted, in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, or otherwise, without permission from the health plan.

Technologies for the Evaluation of Skin Lesions (including Dermoscopy, Epiluminescence Microscopy, Videomicroscopy and Ultrasonography)

Cumulative risk of developing a novel primary melanoma was 12.7% by year 2, with new primary melanoma incidence during the final 3 years of follow-up half of that observed during the first 2 years (incidence density ratio 0.43, [95% confidence interval (CI), 0.25-0.74]; $p=0.002$). Unfortunately, this study does not provide any data regarding comparative health outcomes vs. standard surveillance and follow-up methods.

In 2018, a Cochrane review on dermoscopy, with and without visual inspection, for diagnosing melanoma in adults was published (Dinnes, 2018a). A literature search from the introduction of dermoscopy to August 2016 was performed. All studies that evaluated dermoscopy for diagnosing melanoma in adults compared with either clinical follow-up or histological confirmation were included. There were 104 studies with 42,788 lesions included in the analysis. Studies on the diagnosis being made face-to-face were separated from those based on remote assessment. Face-to-face diagnosis accuracy was significantly higher than remote assessment (relative diagnostic odds ratio [RDOR] 4.6; 95% CI, 2.4 to 9.0; $p<0.001$). The evaluators found dermoscopy to be more accurate than visual inspection alone during face-to-face assessments (RDOR 4.6; 95% CI, 3.0 to 7.5; $p<0.001$), and during remote assessments (RDOR 5.6; 95% CI, 3.7 to 8.5; $p<0.001$). For face-to-face assessments with dermoscopy, the predicted difference in sensitivity at a fixed specificity of 80% was 16% (95% CI, 8% to 23%; 92% for dermoscopy with visual inspection versus 76% for visual inspection), and predicted difference in specificity at a fixed sensitivity of 80% was 20% (95% CI, 7% to 33%; 95% for dermoscopy with visual inspection versus 75% for visual inspection). For remote assessment of dermoscopy, the predicted differences in sensitivity was 34% (95% CI 24% to 46%; 81% for dermoscopy versus 47% for visual inspection), at a fixed specificity of 80%, and predicted difference in specificity was 40% (95% CI 27% to 57%; 82% for dermoscopy versus 42% for visual inspection), at a fixed sensitivity of 80%. While these findings are significant, there are concerns with the applicability. Most of the studies included were either case-control or case-series studies. Other areas of concern as noted by the evaluators include “selective participant recruitment, lack of reproducibility of diagnostic thresholds and lack of detail on observer expertise” (Dinnes, 2018a).

Another Cochrane review was published in 2018 on visual inspection and dermoscopy, alone or in combination, for diagnosing keratinocyte skin cancers in adults (Dinnes, 2018b). The literature search, which included studies from the introduction of dermoscopy to August 2016 that evaluated dermoscopy, visual inspection, or both in adults with lesions suspicious for skin cancer compared with either clinical follow-up or histological confirmation, yielded 24 studies with 27 visual inspection datasets (8805 lesions; 2579 malignancies) and 33 dermoscopy datasets (6855 lesions; 1444 malignancies). Studies on the diagnosis being made face-to-face were separated from those based on remote assessment; however, no significant difference was found between the accuracy of the two. Face-to-face evaluations of dermoscopy was more accurate than visual inspection alone in the detection of basal cell carcinoma (RDOR of 8.2, 95% CI 3.5 to 19.3; $p<0.001$). “This corresponds to predicted differences in sensitivity of 14% (93% versus 79%) at a fixed specificity of 80% and predicted differences in specificity of 22% (99% versus 77%) at a fixed sensitivity of 80%” (Dinnes, 2018b). The data showed very similar results for the remote assessments. There was insufficient data in the included studies to draw conclusions on the accuracy of dermoscopy through face-to-face or remote assessment for the detection of cutaneous squamous cell carcinomas. Limitations to this review and the applicability of the results include most of the studies included being either case-control or case-series studies, potential bias participant recruitment due to selection processes, lack of reproducibility of diagnostic thresholds, and unclear observer expertise.

This Medical Policy provides assistance in understanding Healthy Blue’s standard Medicaid benefit plan. When evaluating coverage for a specific member benefit, reference to federal and state law, as well as contractual requirements may be necessary, since these may differ from our standard benefit plan. In the event of a conflict with standard plan benefits, federal, state and/or contractual requirements will govern. Before using this policy, please check all federal, state and/or contractual requirements applicable to the specific benefit plan coverage. Healthy Blue reserves the right to modify its Policies and Guidelines as necessary and in accordance with legal and contractual requirements. This Medical Policy is provided for informational purposes. It does not constitute medical advice. Healthy Blue may also use tools and criteria developed by third parties, to assist us in administering health benefits. Healthy Blue’s Policies and Guidelines are intended to be used in accordance with the independent professional medical judgment of a qualified health care provider and do not constitute the practice of medicine or medical advice.

No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system or transmitted, in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, or otherwise, without permission from the health plan.

Technologies for the Evaluation of Skin Lesions (including Dermatoscopy, Epiluminescence Microscopy, Videomicroscopy and Ultrasonography)

There is no conclusive data regarding the role of serial dermatoscopic monitoring compared to serial clinical monitoring. In addition, there is insufficient data to assess the impact of dermatoscopy on skin cancer-related morbidity and mortality.

The body of evidence addressing the use of whole body integumentary photography (also known as whole body photography or TBP) is currently limited. Only three peer-reviewed articles discuss the results of clinical trials using TBP (Feit, 2004; Menon, 2006; Risser, 2007). The first two studies lack control groups, do not address specificity or sensitivity issues, and do not report any data regarding alterations in health outcomes as a result of the use of this technique. The third study, by Risser and colleagues, retrospectively investigated the impact of TBP on the clinical treatment of individuals seen in a pigmented lesion clinic. The authors reviewed the charts of 64 subjects who had undergone TBP and 64 who had not. The authors report that TBP had no impact on the number of biopsies or on the number of dysplastic nevi diagnosed in the first year of the clinic. Further evidence from well-controlled trials is needed to properly evaluate the health benefits of TBP.

Ultrasonography (US) has been proposed for use in the assessment of skin tumors. US has been described as a tool for differentiation of common benign pigmented skin lesions from melanoma. There are only a few small nonrandomized controlled studies currently available in the literature describing this technique. US has also been used in the preoperative measurement of melanoma thickness in preparation for lesion excision. The studies addressing this procedure have been small nonrandomized controlled studies and the impact of US assistance in melanoma excision planning was not addressed in relation to any potential decrease in repeat excisions or other outcome measures. Other studies have investigated the use of US in the assessment of inflammatory skin lesions and connective tissue diseases. The evidence is limited to small case series studies that do not evaluate the impact of US on health outcomes or on clinical management. In the absence of such evidence the use of ultrasonography cannot be recommended for use in evaluation of skin lesions.

Finally, the use of ultrasonographic evaluation of photoaging, intrinsic aging and skin rejuvenation techniques is considered cosmetic and not medically necessary. These techniques are used for the sole purpose of improving appearance and they have not been found to have any significant impact on health outcomes.

Background/Overview

Of the three main types of skin cancer, melanoma is the most aggressive and accounts for approximately 75% of all skin cancer related deaths. Treatment of melanoma is highly successful if caught early. The gold standard for evaluation of pigmented skin lesions is excision with examination of the lesion under a microscope for diagnosis. The sensitivity and specificity is nearly 100% for a skilled pathologist. The early phase of malignant melanoma can be particularly difficult to identify since malignant melanomas of skin can share many clinical features with atypical birthmarks, moles, or other benign skin lesions. Because of this diagnostic difficulty, multiple tools have been proposed in order to improve the accuracy of diagnosis of malignancies in pigmented skin lesions and therefore improve health outcomes, without necessarily requiring biopsy or excision of lesions for testing.

This Medical Policy provides assistance in understanding Healthy Blue's standard Medicaid benefit plan. When evaluating coverage for a specific member benefit, reference to federal and state law, as well as contractual requirements may be necessary, since these may differ from our standard benefit plan. In the event of a conflict with standard plan benefits, federal, state and/or contractual requirements will govern. Before using this policy, please check all federal, state and/or contractual requirements applicable to the specific benefit plan coverage. Healthy Blue reserves the right to modify its Policies and Guidelines as necessary and in accordance with legal and contractual requirements. This Medical Policy is provided for informational purposes. It does not constitute medical advice. Healthy Blue may also use tools and criteria developed by third parties, to assist us in administering health benefits. Healthy Blue's Policies and Guidelines are intended to be used in accordance with the independent professional medical judgment of a qualified health care provider and do not constitute the practice of medicine or medical advice.

No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system or transmitted, in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, or otherwise, without permission from the health plan.

Technologies for the Evaluation of Skin Lesions (including Dermatoscopy, Epiluminescence Microscopy, Videomicroscopy and Ultrasonography)

Dermatoscopy, epiluminescence microscopy (ELM), and the other techniques mentioned in this document have been introduced as non-invasive aids in the visual examination of pigmented skin lesions in-vivo (on the individual's body). While dermatoscopy is extensively used in Western Europe, it has gained only limited acceptance in the U.S. It is considered to be an extraneous diagnostic step in the work-up of suspected melanoma.

In addition, the use of the dermatoscope requires adequate training and experience to use it effectively and studies have shown that its use by practitioners without adequate training actually decreases diagnostic accuracy below that obtained from clinical examination alone. The brand names of epiluminescence microscopes that are available include, but are not limited to, the Nevoscope™, the Episcope™, the Dermascope™, and MoleMax™.

Dermatoscopy and all its forms use magnification of in vivo skin lesions for better visualization of surface and subsurface structures without requiring excision. This diagnostic tool permits the recognition of morphologic structures not visible to the naked eye. The technique involves placing mineral oil, alcohol or water on the skin lesion and inspecting it using a hand-held lens, a hand-held scope, a stereomicroscope, a camera, or a digital imaging system. The magnifications of these various instruments range from 6x up to 100x. The most commonly used dermatoscope has a 10x magnification. The fluid placed on the lesion eliminates surface reflection and renders the hardened external layer translucent, thus allowing a better visualization of pigmented structures within the epidermis, the dermoepidermal junction and the superficial dermis. Moreover, size and shape of vessels of the superficial vascular plexus can be easily visualized by this procedure. Dermatoscopy is proposed to increase the accuracy of the clinical diagnosis of pigmented lesions and particularly to aid in the early recognition of malignant melanoma.

Whole body integumentary photography involves photographing an individual's entire body surface. Photographs may be taken using either conventional or digital photography. The purpose of this procedure is to attain a visual record of the skin with the hope of being able to compare with future examinations to assist in the identification of new or changed skin lesions. This technology has been proposed as a tool in the management of individuals at high risk for skin cancer.

Ultrasound (US) imaging is a method of obtaining images from inside the body through the use of high frequency sound waves. Sound waves are emitted by a handheld probe and penetrate the body without any discomfort or sensation. These sound waves are reflected by the structures inside the body and received by a receiver in the probe. The echoes are then processed by a computer and displayed as a real-time visual image on a monitor. The image that is displayed shows movement of internal structures of the body as they occur, including blood flow in the veins and arteries, aiding diagnosis of a variety of conditions. US for use in evaluating skin lesions has been proposed as a method to allow assessment of blood supply, thickness and depth of the growth into the skin.

Definitions

Dermatoscope: A hand-held device used for the examination of the structures of the epidermis and epidermal-dermal junction using magnification of about 10x.

Dermatoscopy (Dermascopy, Dermoscopy, DS): A family of noninvasive techniques (skin videomicroscopy, epiluminescence microscopy [ELM], incident light microscopy, skin surface microscopy) that allow microscopic

This Medical Policy provides assistance in understanding Healthy Blue's standard Medicaid benefit plan. When evaluating coverage for a specific member benefit, reference to federal and state law, as well as contractual requirements may be necessary, since these may differ from our standard benefit plan. In the event of a conflict with standard plan benefits, federal, state and/or contractual requirements will govern. Before using this policy, please check all federal, state and/or contractual requirements applicable to the specific benefit plan coverage. Healthy Blue reserves the right to modify its Policies and Guidelines as necessary and in accordance with legal and contractual requirements. This Medical Policy is provided for informational purposes. It does not constitute medical advice. Healthy Blue may also use tools and criteria developed by third parties, to assist us in administering health benefits. Healthy Blue's Policies and Guidelines are intended to be used in accordance with the independent professional medical judgment of a qualified health care provider and do not constitute the practice of medicine or medical advice.

No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system or transmitted, in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, or otherwise, without permission from the health plan.

Technologies for the Evaluation of Skin Lesions (including Dermatoscopy, Epiluminescence Microscopy, Videomicroscopy and Ultrasonography)

examination of skin lesions. These techniques are intended to help distinguish between benign and malignant pigmented skin lesions using a dermatoscope, stereomicroscope, camera, or a digital imaging system. The magnifications of these various instruments range from 6x to 40x and up to 100x.

Dermoscopy (DS): Another name for Dermatoscopy; see Dermatoscopy.

Digital epiluminescence microscopy (D-ELM): A version of dermatoscopy that involves using digital photography of the dermatoscopic images; the computerized digital images are stored for comparison of the skin lesion(s) at a later date.

Epiluminescence microscopy (ELM): Another dermatoscopic technique that allows microscopic examination of skin lesions directly on the person, without requiring excision.

Incidence or incident light microscopy: Another term sometimes used for dermatoscopy, dermoscopy or ELM.

Melanomagram: A whole-body image produced by using a digital-picture dermatoscope (MoleMax). A full set of digital computer images are evaluated for the presence of skin lesions and then digitally archived for future use. These images are used to do side-by-side comparisons of past and current images to determine changes in size, color, or other skin cancer risk factors.

Skin surface microscopy: Another name for dermatoscopy.

Ultrasonography: The diagnostic or therapeutic use of ultrasound, which uses sound waves to create two-dimensional images used for the examination and measurement of body structures and the detection of abnormalities.

Videomicroscope, videomicroscopy, or videodermatoscopy: A technique that uses a video-microscope linked to a computer that generates a melanomagram of the whole body or body region.

Whole body integumentary photography: A procedure where the entire skin surface of an individual is photographed. The purpose of this procedure is to provide a reference source of skin lesions over time; pictures may be conventional pictures or digital images stored electronically; also see melanomagram.

Coding

The following codes for treatments and procedures applicable to this document are included below for informational purposes. Inclusion or exclusion of a procedure, diagnosis or device code(s) does not constitute or imply member coverage or provider reimbursement policy. Please refer to the member's contract benefits in effect at the time of service to determine coverage or non-coverage of these services as it applies to an individual member.

When services are Not Medically Necessary:

CPT
96999

Unlisted special dermatological service or procedure [when specified as dermatoscopy techniques such as dermoscopy, epiluminescence microscopy, or digital epiluminescence

This Medical Policy provides assistance in understanding Healthy Blue's standard Medicaid benefit plan. When evaluating coverage for a specific member benefit, reference to federal and state law, as well as contractual requirements may be necessary, since these may differ from our standard benefit plan. In the event of a conflict with standard plan benefits, federal, state and/or contractual requirements will govern. Before using this policy, please check all federal, state and/or contractual requirements applicable to the specific benefit plan coverage. Healthy Blue reserves the right to modify its Policies and Guidelines as necessary and in accordance with legal and contractual requirements. This Medical Policy is provided for informational purposes. It does not constitute medical advice. Healthy Blue may also use tools and criteria developed by third parties, to assist us in administering health benefits. Healthy Blue's Policies and Guidelines are intended to be used in accordance with the independent professional medical judgment of a qualified health care provider and do not constitute the practice of medicine or medical advice.

No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system or transmitted, in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, or otherwise, without permission from the health plan.

Technologies for the Evaluation of Skin Lesions (including Dermoscopy, Epiluminescence Microscopy, Videomicroscopy and Ultrasonography)

microscopy, skin surface microscopy, skin videomicroscopy, or incidence light microscopy]

ICD-10 Diagnosis

All diagnoses

When services are Investigational and Not Medically Necessary:**CPT**

96904

Whole body integumentary photography, for monitoring of high risk patients with dysplastic nevus syndrome or a history of dysplastic nevi, or patients with a personal or familial history of melanoma

96999

Unlisted special dermatological service or procedure [when specified as ultrasonography of the skin for skin lesions]

ICD-10 Diagnosis

All diagnoses

When services are Cosmetic and not medically necessary:**CPT**

96999

Unlisted special dermatological service or procedure [when specified as ultrasonography of the skin for evaluation of photoaging]

ICD-10 Diagnosis

All diagnoses

References**Peer Reviewed Publications:**

1. Argenziano G, Puig S, Zalaudek I, et al. Dermoscopy improves accuracy of primary care physicians to triage lesions suggestive of skin cancer. *J Clin Oncol.* 2006; 24(12):1877-1882.
2. Argenziano G, Soyer HP. Dermoscopy of pigmented skin lesions--a valuable tool for early diagnosis of melanoma. *Lancet Oncol.* 2001; 2(7):443-449.
3. Ascierto PA, Palmieri G, Botti G, et al. Early diagnosis of malignant melanoma: Proposal of a working formulation for the management of cutaneous pigmented lesions from the Melanoma Cooperative Group. *Int J Oncol.* 2003; 22(6):1209-1215.
4. Ascierto PA, Palmieri G, Celentano E, et al. Sensitivity and specificity of epiluminescence microscopy: evaluation on a sample of 2731 excised cutaneous pigmented lesions. *The Melanoma Cooperative Study. Br J Dermatol.* 2000; 142(5):893-898.

This Medical Policy provides assistance in understanding Healthy Blue's standard Medicaid benefit plan. When evaluating coverage for a specific member benefit, reference to federal and state law, as well as contractual requirements may be necessary, since these may differ from our standard benefit plan. In the event of a conflict with standard plan benefits, federal, state and/or contractual requirements will govern. Before using this policy, please check all federal, state and/or contractual requirements applicable to the specific benefit plan coverage. Healthy Blue reserves the right to modify its Policies and Guidelines as necessary and in accordance with legal and contractual requirements. This Medical Policy is provided for informational purposes. It does not constitute medical advice. Healthy Blue may also use tools and criteria developed by third parties, to assist us in administering health benefits. Healthy Blue's Policies and Guidelines are intended to be used in accordance with the independent professional medical judgment of a qualified health care provider and do not constitute the practice of medicine or medical advice.

No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system or transmitted, in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, or otherwise, without permission from the health plan.

Technologies for the Evaluation of Skin Lesions (including Dermatoscopy, Epiluminescence Microscopy, Videomicroscopy and Ultrasonography)

5. Bauer P, Cristofolini P, Boi S, et al. Digital epiluminescence microscopy: usefulness in the differential diagnosis of cutaneous pigmentary lesions. A statistical comparison between visual and computer inspection. *Melanoma Res.* 2000; 10(4):345-349.
6. Binder M, Kittler H, Dreiseitl S, et al. Computer-aided epiluminescence microscopy of pigmented skin lesions: the value of clinical data for the classification process. *Melanoma Res.* 2000; 10(6):556-561.
7. Binder M, Kittler H, Pehamberger H, Wolff K. Possible hazard to patients from immersion oil used for epiluminescence microscopy. *J Am Acad Dermatol.* 1999; 40(3):499.
8. Braun RP, Meier ML, Pelloni F, et al. Teledermatology in Switzerland: a preliminary evaluation. *J Am Acad Dermatol.* 2000; 42(5 Pt 1):770-775.
9. Carli P, De Giorgi V, Argenziano G, et al. Pre-operative diagnosis of pigmented skin lesions: in vivo dermoscopy performs better than dermoscopy on photographic images. *J Eur Acad Dermatol Venereol.* 2002; 16(4):339-346.
10. Carli P, De Giorgi V, Palli D, et al. Preoperative assessment of melanoma thickness by ABCD score of dermatoscopy. *J Am Acad Dermatol.* 2000; 43(3):459-466.
11. Carli P, Mannone F, De Giorgi V, et al. The problem of false-positive diagnosis in melanoma screening: the impact of dermoscopy. *Melanoma Res.* 2003; 13(2):179-182.
12. Feit NE, Dusza SW, Marghoob AA. Melanomas detected with the aid of total cutaneous photography. *Br J Dermatol.* 2004; 150(4):706-714.
13. Ferrara G, Argenziano G, Soyer HP, et al. Dermoscopic and histopathologic diagnosis of equivocal melanocytic skin lesions: an interdisciplinary study on 107 cases. *Cancer.* 2002; 95(5):1094-1100.
14. Gerger A, Koller S, Weger W, et al. Sensitivity and specificity of confocal laser-scanning microscopy for in vivo diagnosis of malignant skin tumors. *Cancer.* 2006; 107(1):193-200.
15. Grichnik JM. Difficult early melanomas. *Dermatol Clin.* 2001; 19(2):319-325.
16. Harland CC, Kale SG, Jackson, et al. Differentiation of common benign pigmented skin lesions from melanoma by high-resolution ultrasound. *Br J Dermatol.* 2000; 143(2):281-289.
17. Karaman GC, Karaman CZ, Sendur N, et al. Power Doppler ultrasonography for the evaluation of skin tumors other than malignant melanoma. *Eur Radiol.* 2001; 11(7):1111-1116.
18. Kittler H, Pehamberger H, Wolff K, Binder M. Follow-up of melanocytic skin lesion with digital epiluminescence microscopy: patterns of modifications observed in early melanoma, atypical nevi, and common nevi. *J Am Acad Dermatol.* 2000; 43(3):467-476.
19. Kittler H, Seltenheim M, David M, et al. Frequency and characteristics of enlarging common melanocytic nevi. *Arch Dermatol.* 2000; 136(3):316-320.
20. MacKie RM, Fleming C, McMahan AD, Jarrett P. The use of the dermatoscope to identify early melanoma using the three-colour test. *Br J Dermatol.* 2002; 147(3):481-484.
21. Menon K, Dusza SW, Marghoob AA, et al. Classification and prevalence of pigmented lesions in patients with total-body photographs at high risk of developing melanoma. *J Cutan Med Surg.* 2006; 10(2):85-91.
22. Menzies SW, Kreuzsch J, Byth K, et al. Dermoscopic evaluation of amelanotic and hypomelanotic melanoma. *Arch Dermatol.* 2008; 144(9):1120-1127.
23. Moloney FJ, Guitera P, Coates E, et al. Detection of primary melanoma in individuals at extreme high risk: a prospective 5-year follow-up study. *JAMA Dermatol.* 2014; 150(8):819-827.

This Medical Policy provides assistance in understanding Healthy Blue's standard Medicaid benefit plan. When evaluating coverage for a specific member benefit, reference to federal and state law, as well as contractual requirements may be necessary, since these may differ from our standard benefit plan. In the event of a conflict with standard plan benefits, federal, state and/or contractual requirements will govern. Before using this policy, please check all federal, state and/or contractual requirements applicable to the specific benefit plan coverage. Healthy Blue reserves the right to modify its Policies and Guidelines as necessary and in accordance with legal and contractual requirements. This Medical Policy is provided for informational purposes. It does not constitute medical advice. Healthy Blue may also use tools and criteria developed by third parties, to assist us in administering health benefits. Healthy Blue's Policies and Guidelines are intended to be used in accordance with the independent professional medical judgment of a qualified health care provider and do not constitute the practice of medicine or medical advice.

No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system or transmitted, in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, or otherwise, without permission from the health plan.

Technologies for the Evaluation of Skin Lesions (including Dermatoscopy, Epiluminescence Microscopy, Videomicroscopy and Ultrasonography)

24. Pan Y, Chamberlain AJ, Bailey M, et al. Dermatoscopy aids in the diagnosis of the solitary red scaly patch or plaque-features distinguishing superficial basal cell carcinoma, intraepidermal carcinoma, and psoriasis. *J Am Acad Dermatol.* 2008; 59(2):268-274.
25. Paoli J, Smedh M, Wennberg AM, Ericson MB. Multiphoton laser scanning microscopy on non-melanoma skin cancer: morphologic features for future non-invasive diagnostics. *J Invest Dermatol.* 2008; 128(5):1248-1255.
26. Piccolo D, Ferrari A, Peris K, et al. Dermoscopic diagnosis by a trained clinician vs. a clinician with minimal dermoscopy training vs. computer-aided diagnosis of 341 pigmented skin lesions: a comparative study. *Br J Dermatol.* 2002; 147(3):481-486.
27. Rallan D, Bush NL, Bamber JC, Harland CC. Quantitative discrimination of pigmented lesions using three-dimensional high-resolution ultrasound reflex transmission imaging. *J Invest Dermatol.* 2007; 127(1):189-195.
28. Risser J, Pressley Z, Veledar E, et al. The impact of total body photography on biopsy rate in patients from a pigmented lesion clinic. *J Am Acad Dermatol.* 2007; 57(3):428-434.
29. Vaillant L, Berson M, Machet L, et al. Ultrasound imaging of psoriatic skin: a noninvasive technique to evaluate treatment of psoriasis. *Int J Dermatol.* 1994; 33(11):786-790.
30. Vestergaard ME, Macaskill P, Holt PE, Menzies SW. Dermoscopy compared with naked eye examination for the diagnosis of primary melanoma: a meta-analysis of studies performed in a clinical setting. *Br J Dermatol.* 2008; 159(3):669-676.
31. Wollina U, Burroni M, Torricelli R, et al. Digital dermoscopy in clinical practise: a three-centre analysis. *Skin Res Technol.* 2007; 13(2):133-142.

Government Agency, Medical Society, and Other Authoritative Publications:

1. Dinnes J, Deeks JJ, Chuchu N, et al. Dermoscopy, with and without visual inspection, for diagnosing melanoma in adults. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev.* 2018a;12:CD011902.
2. Dinnes J, Deeks JJ, Chuchu N, et al. Visual inspection and dermoscopy, alone or in combination, for diagnosing keratinocyte skin cancers in adults. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev.* 2018b;12:CD011901.
3. NCCN Clinical Practice Guidelines in Oncology®. © 2020 National Comprehensive Cancer Network, Inc. For additional information visit the NCCN website: <http://www.nccn.org/index.asp>. Accessed on January 29, 2020.
 - Basal Cell Skin Cancer (V1.2020). Revised October 24, 2019.
 - Cutaneous Melanoma (V1.2020). Revised December 19, 2019.
 - Dermatofibrosarcoma Protuberans (V1.2020). Revised October 2, 2019.
 - Merkel Cell Carcinoma (V1.2020). Revised October 2, 2019.
 - Squamous Cell Skin Cancer (V1.2020). Revised October 2, 2019.
4. U.S. Preventive Services Task Force (USPSTF). Screening for Skin Cancer. *JAMA.* 2016; 316(4):429-435.

Websites for Additional Information

1. American Cancer Society. Melanoma skin cancer. Available at: <https://www.cancer.org/cancer/melanoma-skin-cancer.html>. Accessed on January 29, 2020.

Index

This Medical Policy provides assistance in understanding Healthy Blue’s standard Medicaid benefit plan. When evaluating coverage for a specific member benefit, reference to federal and state law, as well as contractual requirements may be necessary, since these may differ from our standard benefit plan. In the event of a conflict with standard plan benefits, federal, state and/or contractual requirements will govern. Before using this policy, please check all federal, state and/or contractual requirements applicable to the specific benefit plan coverage. Healthy Blue reserves the right to modify its Policies and Guidelines as necessary and in accordance with legal and contractual requirements. This Medical Policy is provided for informational purposes. It does not constitute medical advice. Healthy Blue may also use tools and criteria developed by third parties, to assist us in administering health benefits. Healthy Blue’s Policies and Guidelines are intended to be used in accordance with the independent professional medical judgment of a qualified health care provider and do not constitute the practice of medicine or medical advice.

No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system or transmitted, in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, or otherwise, without permission from the health plan.

Technologies for the Evaluation of Skin Lesions (including Dermatoscopy, Epiluminescence Microscopy, Videomicroscopy and Ultrasonography)

- Dermascope
- Dermascopy
- Dermatoscopy
- Dermoscopy
- Epiluminescence Microscopy
- Episcope
- Incident Light Microscopy
- Melanomagram
- MicroDERM®
- Mirror Body Mapping
- MoleMap
- MoleMax
- Molesafe™
- Nevoscope
- Skin Surface Microscopy
- Total Body Photography
- Ultrasound
- Video Microscopy

The use of specific product names is illustrative only. It is not intended to be a recommendation of one product over another, and is not intended to represent a complete listing of all products available.

Document History

Status	Date	Action
Reviewed	02/20/2020	Medical Policy & Technology Assessment Committee (MPTAC) review. Updated References and Websites sections.
Reviewed	03/21/2019	MPTAC review. Updated Rationale, References, and Websites sections.
Reviewed	03/22/2018	MPTAC review. The document header wording updated from “Current Effective Date” to “Publish Date.” Updated Rationale, References, and Websites sections.
Reviewed	05/04/2017	MPTAC review. Updated References and Websites sections.
Reviewed	05/05/2016	MPTAC review. Updated Reference section. Removed ICD-9 codes from Coding section.
Reviewed	05/07/2015	MPTAC review. Updated Rationale and Reference sections.
Reviewed	05/15/2014	MPTAC review. Updated Reference section.
Reviewed	05/09/2013	MPTAC review. Updated Reference section.
Reviewed	05/10/2012	MPTAC review. Updated Reference section.
Reviewed	05/19/2011	MPTAC review. Updated Reference section.
Reviewed	05/13/2010	MPTAC review. Updated Reference section.
Reviewed	05/21/2009	MPTAC review. Updated Reference section.

This Medical Policy provides assistance in understanding Healthy Blue’s standard Medicaid benefit plan. When evaluating coverage for a specific member benefit, reference to federal and state law, as well as contractual requirements may be necessary, since these may differ from our standard benefit plan. In the event of a conflict with standard plan benefits, federal, state and/or contractual requirements will govern. Before using this policy, please check all federal, state and/or contractual requirements applicable to the specific benefit plan coverage. Healthy Blue reserves the right to modify its Policies and Guidelines as necessary and in accordance with legal and contractual requirements. This Medical Policy is provided for informational purposes. It does not constitute medical advice. Healthy Blue may also use tools and criteria developed by third parties, to assist us in administering health benefits. Healthy Blue’s Policies and Guidelines are intended to be used in accordance with the independent professional medical judgment of a qualified health care provider and do not constitute the practice of medicine or medical advice.

No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system or transmitted, in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, or otherwise, without permission from the health plan.

Technologies for the Evaluation of Skin Lesions (including Dermatoscopy, Epiluminescence Microscopy, Videomicroscopy and Ultrasonography)

Reviewed	05/15/2008	MPTAC review. The phrase “cosmetic/not medically necessary” was clarified to read “cosmetic and not medically necessary.” Updated Coding and Reference sections.
	02/21/2008	The phrase "investigational/not medically necessary" was clarified to read "investigational and not medically necessary." This change was approved at the November 29, 2007 MPTAC meeting.
Revised	05/17/2007	MPTAC review. Added investigational/not medically necessary statement regarding whole body photography. Updated Rationale, Reference Coding and Index sections.
	01/01/2007	Updated Coding section with 01/01/2007 CPT/HCPCS changes; removed CPT codes 0044T, 0045T deleted 12/31/2006.
Reviewed	06/08/2006 11/22/2005	MPTAC annual review. References updated. Added reference for Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services (CMS) – National Coverage Determination (NCD).
Revised	07/14/2005	MPTAC review. Revision based on Pre-merger Anthem and Pre-merger WellPoint Harmonization.

Pre-Merger Organization	Last Date Reviewed	Document Number	Title
Anthem, Inc.	01/28/2004	MED.00004	Technologies for the Evaluation of Skin Lesions (including Dermatoscopy, Epiluminescence Microscopy, Videomicroscopy and Ultrasonography)
WellPoint Health Networks, Inc.	09/23/2004	2.02.03	Dermatoscopy
	06/24/2004	4.02.02	Ultrasonographic Evaluation of Skin Lesions

This Medical Policy provides assistance in understanding Healthy Blue’s standard Medicaid benefit plan. When evaluating coverage for a specific member benefit, reference to federal and state law, as well as contractual requirements may be necessary, since these may differ from our standard benefit plan. In the event of a conflict with standard plan benefits, federal, state and/or contractual requirements will govern. Before using this policy, please check all federal, state and/or contractual requirements applicable to the specific benefit plan coverage. Healthy Blue reserves the right to modify its Policies and Guidelines as necessary and in accordance with legal and contractual requirements. This Medical Policy is provided for informational purposes. It does not constitute medical advice. Healthy Blue may also use tools and criteria developed by third parties, to assist us in administering health benefits. Healthy Blue’s Policies and Guidelines are intended to be used in accordance with the independent professional medical judgment of a qualified health care provider and do not constitute the practice of medicine or medical advice.

No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system or transmitted, in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, or otherwise, without permission from the health plan.